SACM Virtual Interm

Agenda

1. WG Status
2. Existing Work Disposition / Open Issues
3. IETF 100 Hackathon Update
4. Way Forward

Summary

Our call for agenda items on the list prior to this meeting went unanswered, resulting in the agenda above, which was accepted at the outset. We went through each of our open drafts to determine what needed work and what did not. We then went through the list of non-WG items to determine their disposition. Complete details of our discussion are below in the raw notes provided by Charles Schmidt. High points of the meeting follow:

- ROLIE SW descriptor will be updated after ROLIE is handled in the MILE WG
- CoSWID requires review for clarity and conceptual understanding
- We are waiting on a draft update to ECP
- No plans to update the IM – it'll expire, and we'll need to keep track of it
- SWIMA – last call on the last call pending…expect to progress soon
- ROLIE Checklist Extension side meeting will happen on Monday during IETF 100

Raw Notes

ROLIE
DW- Focusing on getting the core ROLIE spec finalized in MILE. Got lots of comments from IESG last call. No update on the ROLIE Software Descriptor. We have been updating the template for extensions. Not submitted. Not even sure we will formally publish. Maybe a topic for Singapore. After the core ROLIE spec is out we will focus on the Software Descriptor spec.
KO – Updates expected only after IETF 100.
DW – Probably. Will have to ask Stephen.

COSWID
DW – As far as I'm aware, Henk is working on adding definitions for elements. Posted on July 4. Haven't seen any comments yet. Would be good to get feedback. As far as I know, this is done pending review. No idea if there will be an update before IETF 100.

ECP
DH – Jess is working to bring into alignment with the SWIMA and other SACM work and some discussions from the last hackathon. Not sure when the next draft will be posted, but being worked on. Not sure if there will be an update before IETF 100.
KO – I think Jess said there would be an update.

SACM Information Model
DH – No update. No real work on it.
KO – It expires on the 29th. Doesn't really matter – just will fall off the main list.
DW – Most of the listed authors are no longer active (on the draft or in the WG).
AM – Another reason to just let this expire.
KO – If they aren't actively working, maybe move them to acknowledgement section.

SWIMA
CMS – Addressed all comments in latest draft. No comments since then.
KO – I'll send a last call on the last call.

Terminology
KO – Last draft was July 4. No updates.

Non WG drafts
AM – Not sure the right people are here.
DW – There was some list discussion on some of the data model drafts. There seems to be some
concern about duplication with existing YANG models. Haven't gotten a resolution on that.
AM – Regarding the ROLIE configuration checklist. We need to work through that. Topic for IETF 100.
SB – I hope to get you a revised template soon.

Hackathon
AM – Working through "Kick off charter discussion and finalize" work item. Almost finished. Just need
another sentence or two and Kathleen will be happy.
KO – Confusing that this conversation ended up in the Hackathon GitHub. Kathleen wanted the scope
constrained to an administrative domain or enterprise.
AM – I suggested a few changes to address her concerns but she wasn't satisfied. She wants a dedicated
sentence.
KO – From old charter. "Because this is a broad area of work, this working group will first address
enterprise use cases pertaining to the assessment of endpoint posture (using the definitions of Endpoint
and Posture from RFC 5209)."
<Group> - Agree to add
KO – This implies that new use cases will be added later. She might not like.
DW – Just remove "first".
KO – I'll add, removing the word "first".
AM – Regarding next items – we meet tomorrow and we'll touch on most of the remaining items. We
still need to talk through the hackathon goals. I'll then update the README. We are trying to show we
can use a broker between all the types of data we are trying to collect. Looking pretty good at this point.
KO – We expect Adam, Bill, Dave, Stephen, Andreas (remote), Nancy, and Henk.
AM – We will have the right people there to make progress.

Way Forward
KO – Expectations for Singapore? We have a number of drafts that are close to being done and we have
the hackathon.

DW – We talked about meeting up on the ROLIE configuration draft. Should we schedule a side-meeting
at IETF 100? Maybe Monday or Tuesday (before the SACM meeting).
<Group> - Monday, 1:30-3:30