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— CBORbis –08, remaining issues
CBORbis status

Still at –07 (waiting for more merges)

Recently merged:

— 112 ➔ 114 ➔ 119: non-well-formed examples
— 115: build deterministic on preferred
— 116: remove "strict mode"
— 117: defaults for JSON to CBOR conversion
— 118: (tag validity, 24 and 36)
5 PRs open now.
4 Mostly trivial:

— 124: reference RFC 8618 analysis
— 125: markdown cleanup tag 4/5 (close 120)
— 128: advice on small keys (close 68)
— 129: define "encoded data item" (close 64)
1 Non-trivial:

— 127 Grand overhaul of tag language and related text about validity

— terms: some more cleanup (missed in 109), "tag content", "basic validity" vs. "tag validity"

Mostly get rid of "optional tagging" as a concept

Explicitly talk about inadmissible content values

Discuss semantic equivalence of tags as map keys

Could add some discussion of semantic validity (86)
Work remaining: Clear way forward

Mostly Editorial Issues:

— 122: combine 5.3, 5.8
   ➔ move most of 5.8 to 5.3, reduce redundancy
   ➔ do not discuss deterministic encoding here
Possibly move rest of 5.8 to security considerations?
"CBOR firewalls"???
Work remaining: Discuss

— 121: binary MIME
  We have Tag 257 for binary MIME already
  Can tag 36 stay as is?
  Should we mention tag 257?

— 67: More about handling unknown tags/simples?

— 63: More rules about handling identical map keys?
Technical Issues

— 94: Signaling NaNs
  sNaN: Stepchild, not used much in IEEE 754
  — Avoid setting up traps for ourselves
  — Enable use of all of IEEE 754 if desired (sNaNs, payloads)
  ➔ Default to one qNaN only

— 92: Tags that care about their relative order
  ➔ Explain that these are special use