

COORRE

2019-02-20 virtual interim

Agenda

Admin

- OSCORE: Still in IESG (waiting for Ekr)
- ERT: Waiting for authors' reaction to chair's review
- senml-etch: Waiting for authors' reaction to chair's review
- hop-limit: Waiting for chair's review (sorry, again)
- RD: Waiting for chair's review
- CoID: probably goes through secdispatch now (ace or core?)

Tech

- Content Negotiation and application/multipart-core

Content Negotiation

Basic REST concept:

- Server can provide multiple variants of a resource
- Client can ask for specific variant

HTTP:

- Accept-Language: user's language preferences
- Accept: media types (content types) supported
- Accept-Charset: (overtaken by events)
- Accept-Encoding: indicate compression schemes

Proactive vs Reactive Content Negotiation

"proactive": server selects the representation based upon the user agent's stated preferences

- really about client's (or user's) capabilities
 - hard to describe (and to act on by server)

"reactive": server provides a list of representations for the user agent to choose from

- hypermedia style

HTTP

Browser Web: Proactive Content Negotiation hindered by large amount of choices

Web APIs: Accept header often used to select specific form (e.g., serialization)

CoAP

Tried to simplify:

- Only one choice can be expressed in Accept Option
- Selected choice is a **mandatory** request (4.06 otherwise)
- Using Content-Format number as opposed to content-type spec (media-type + parameters) + content-coding

Multipart-core

Media types can nest
(also true for senml-ct)

Now how to do content negotiation?

- outer level (governed by Accept)
- inner level (???)

Bigger picture

- Composition (embedding content-formats in other content-formats)
- Inheritance
(e.g., both `application/cbor` and `application/foo+cbor`)
- Choice
- Serialization (and other client capabilities)

Fix now: EST-CoAPs

Want solution now!

Express selection of embedded content-formats in query parameters?

?ct=4711

Define new option Accept-Embedded?

One approach: Accept-Embedded Option

The Accept-Embedded Option is a request option that provides a hint which Content-Formats the client would like to see within the response. Accept-Embedded is repeatable so more than one Content-Format can be given.

When used with the Accept Option, the client can request a specific Content-Format using the Accept Option, as well as provide hints about which Content-Formats it would prefer to be embedded in the response.

For example, an Accept Option with value 62 could be used to request a response of the media-type `application/multipart-core`, and two Accept-Embedded Options with values 281 and 284 could be used to hint that an `"application/pkcs7-mime; smime-type=certs-only"` and an `"application/pkcs8"` object should be embedded in the response object. Note that, while Accept is a strict request, the Accept-Embedded Option is a hint, so the server may embed objects of different Content-Formats instead (such as an error object).

When used without an Accept Option, one of the Content-Formats given in the Accept-Embedded Options may also be employed as the Content-Format of the entire response object itself.

Would that be fluff?

Are query parameters good enough for EST-CoAPs?

Just add a separate resource?

Need to consider extensibility to future media types

Needs to work with discovery -- mirror this into rt?

Respond with a menu of resource variants, linked to?

(cf. Pubsub no-data case -- not equivalent to conneg)