

# IPoC: IP over CCN for seamless 5G mobility

draft-white-icnrg-ipoc-02

ICNRG – July 21, 2019

**Greg White, CableLabs**

Susmit Shannigrahi, Colorado State Univ.

Chengyu Fan, Colorado State Univ.

# Concept

- Use CCN as the forwarding plane for a Mobile Core Network
- Support existing IP services via an “IP over CCN” protocol – replacing LTE-EPC (GTP Tunnels) for IP Mobility
- Enable deployment of native CCN applications, preserving the benefits


# draft-white-icnrg-ipoc Status


- 11/2016 Presented IPoC concept at IETF 97
- 12/2017 draft-00 uploaded
- 03/2018 Presented draft-00 at IETF 101
- 06/2018 draft-01 uploaded
- 08/2018 Paper presented at SIGCOMM NEAT Workshop
- 11/2018 ICNRG chairs solicited opinions on adoption
  - Light response, but unanimously positive
- 06/2019 draft-02 uploaded:
  - Added subsection on “Use of Interest Payloads”
  - Added more detail in “IPoC Naming Conventions” section

# IP over CCN (IPoC) Goals

- Support all existing IP applications & transports without modification
  - Incl. TCP, UDP, SCTP, DCTCP, QUIC, BBR, etc.
  - ...maybe not IP multicast.
- No change to IP stacks
- Leverage consumer mobility of CCN
- Support multipath connectivity
- High performance
- Low overhead
- Be a compelling replacement for EPC

# Architecture







# Leverage consumer mobility

- IPoC Client only sends Interest messages
  - “upstream” IP packets carried as Interest payloads
- IPoC Gateway only sends Content Objects
  - Containing “downstream” IP packets as payloads

# “Upstream” (UE->Network) Packet Flow



# “Downstream” (Network->UE) Packet Flow



# Open Source Implementations

- ndnSim implementation
  - <https://github.com/named-data/IPoC>
- Linux/MacOS implementation on PARC Metis (ca. 2016)
  - Not maintained
  - Available but not posted publicly, contact: [g.white@cablelabs.com](mailto:g.white@cablelabs.com)

# Backup Slides

# IPoC Naming Convention

- `ccnx:/ipoc/<hex_ipaddr>/<b64_seq>`
- `hex_ipaddr`: Client IP address
  - for IPv4: four name segments each encoding (in hex) an octet of the IP address.
    - 192.168.1.100 -> "c0/a8/1/64"
  - for IPv6: RFC2737-sec.2.2,para.1 encoding, with colons replaced with name segment delimiters
    - 3ffe:1900:4545:3::fe21:67cf -> "3ffe/1900/4545/3/0/0/fe21/67cf"
- `b64_seq`: Interest Sequence Number
  - base64-encoded, monotonically increasing (with rollover)

# CCN Routing

- Each IPoC Gateway on the CCN network supports connectivity and address assignment for one or more IP subnets.
- Each IPoC Gateway advertises routes within the CCN network for:
  - `ccnx:/ipoc/<ip4prefix>`
  - `ccnx:/ipoc/<ip6prefix>`
  - `ccnx:/ipoc/init`

} Multiple prefixes can be advertised

# IP Address Assignment

- Client sends Interest for: `ccnx:/ipoc/init/<nonce>`
- CCN network routes Interest to nearest IPoC Gateway
- Gateway responds with Content Object containing IP address configuration information (i.e. the DHCPv4 / DHCPv6 information)

# Maintaining the CIT



- To avoid introducing downstream latency, the CIT needs to always contain at least one sequence number, ideally more than one in order to support a burst of downstream traffic
- Gateway has a target number of CIT entries that it seeks to maintain during idle conditions: `min_CIT` (e.g. 10)
  - This could be dynamically adjusted based on traffic expectations
- Client maintains an Interest Deficit Count
  - Upon CO arrival, Client increments IDC
  - Upon Interest transmission, Client decrements IDC
  - If  $IDC > 0$ , Client sends an “empty” Interest (no payload) – paced
  - IDC is bounded as:  $-IDC\_limit \leq IDC \leq IDC\_limit$  (e.g. 5)

# Managing In-flight Count

- Gateway can trigger an adjustment of the number of in-flight Interest Sequence Numbers (and hence CIT size)
  - Interest Deficit Report included in Content Object
  - Allowed IDR values: -1, 0, 1
  - Client adds IDR value to its Interest Deficit Count
- IDR rules
  - Upon receipt of an Interest when the corresponding CIT is full
    - Gateway dequeues the head of CIT and sends empty CO with IDR = -1
  - When transmitting a CO, if the CIT size < min\_CIT: Send IDR = 1

# Throughput & Latency for Single File DL

- Link Rate = 100 Mbps
- IPoC RTT = 20ms
- Total RTT = 30ms
- Bottleneck Buffer = 15ms
- Baseline IPoC Latency = RTT/2 = 10ms
- When TCP initial cwnd arrives at GW, an additional IPoC RTT of latency is seen.




# PIT Entry Lifetimes

- It is expected that PIT entries in intermediate nodes will have finite lifetimes
- Gateway calculates a CIT Lifetime after which it considers a CIT entry to be stale
- When head-of-queue CIT entry times out, Gateway sends an “empty” Content Object
  - If CIT size < min\_CIT: IDR = 1
  - If CIT size == min\_CIT: IDR = 0
  - If CIT size > min\_CIT: IDR = -1

If no traffic, this drains the CIT down to ~min\_CIT entries


# LTE Handover vs. IPoC Handover

- IPoC significantly simplifies handover compared to LTE-EPC
- No S1 tunnel manipulation to orchestrate
- No X2 tunneling/hairpinning
- Less capacity utilization in core
- UE simply detaches from old link, establishes new link, and resends unexpired PIT entries (without payload).
- No handover-specific functions in GW, eNodeB/gNodeB, or network routers
- Role of MME is simplified
- Soft handover & multipath connectivity are simple
- Operation in HetNets (WiFi/LTE/5G) is straightforward




# Hard vs Soft Handover Simulation

## Throughput



## Delay



# “Upstream” IP packet handling

- Client: Upon receipt of one or more IP packets from the local stack:
  - Send an Interest message
    - Name formed by client’s IP address and next sequence number
    - Body contains entire IP packet(s)
- Gateway: Upon receipt of an Interest message
  - De-encapsulate IP packet(s) and add to resequencer for forwarding to IP network
    - Resequencer ensures in-order delivery
  - Add Sequence Number to the “Client Interest Table”

# Client Interest Table (CIT)

- The CIT is a FIFO queue maintained by the gateway
- CIT contains received Interest Sequence Number and Arrival Time tuples
- One CIT per active client IP address

# “Downstream” IP packet handling

- Gateway:
  - Arriving IP packets are queued on a per-client-IP basis\*
  - Queues are serviced in a round-robin manner
  - Queue blocks when its CIT is empty
  - Packet(s) are dequeued to form a Content Object
  - CIT entry is dequeued to form CO name
  - CO includes a CO Sequence Number (monotonically increasing, with rollover)
    - CO Sequence Number space is independent of Interest Sequence Number space
- Client: Upon receipt of a Content Object
  - De-encapsulate IP packet(s) and add to resequencer for delivery to IP stack

\*more sophisticated queuing, e.g. AQM/L4S could also be used