Status update – Intent Drafts
draft-clemm-nmrg-dist-intent-01

Alexander Clemm (Huawei, USA)
Laurent Ciavaglia (Nokia, France)
Lisandro Zambenedetti Granville (UFRGS, Brazil)

with input from many others:
Jeferson Campos Nobre, Mouli Chandramouli, Toerless Eckert,
Dimitri Papadimitriou, Jeff Tantsura, Will Liu
What was this about, again?

• “Intent-Defined Networking” is one of the recent industry buzzwords
  • Basic idea: Define what you want, not how to do it
  • This sounds good, but is this idea really new? (rhetorical question)
    • Policy-based management: Define high-level policies, leave it to policy renderers to do the rest
    • Service models and service provisioning:
      Define services & leave mapping of the service to low-level configurations, resource allocations, and objects to a flow-through provisioning system
    • Information hierarchies and abstractions are known concepts and common practice for service providers today (e.g. TMForum eTOM / Business Process Model, ITU-T TMN reference model (management layers + FCAPS)
  
• So, what is intent, really?
  • How does it differ from what came before?
  • Is Intent a reincarnation of policy? Of service models? Is intent synonymous, or different?
    Why all those terms and how do they relate?
  • If it is different: how so? What are the implications?
Status update

• Planned as one in a suite of eventually three drafts:
  (1) Terminology – Definitions and Concepts: Intent vs policy vs service models, etc
  This draft
  (2) Intent definition – Expressing Intent (draft TBD)
      - Human – Machine interface aspects
      - Relationship to data models – can you use YANG?
      - Layer interdependencies
  (3) Basic intent architecture and framework/reference architecture
      draft-moulchan-nmrg-network-intent-concepts
      - How to render intent; how to validate network behaves “as intended”

• Work has been in a holding pattern: (1) needs updating, (3) has been abandoned
  - Chance to refactor
  - New co-authors interested in joining: Jeff Tantsura, others (e.g. Will Liu?)
Planned refactoring (one or more drafts)

• Establish clear terminology and taxonomy
  • Including intent “layers” e.g. operational intent (by an operator) vs flow intent (for a given communication instance)
  • Clarify distinction from “policy” (this is not intended as another policy effort)

• Establish requirements for Intent-Based Management and Functions, e.g.
  • Intent Fulfillment / orchestration: express “Network Intent” and map it into network configuration
  • Intent Compliance and validation: assess whether network configuration is consistent with intent, “measure” degree of intent compliance
  • Intent Assurance: assess impact of faults and ongoing changes etc for their impact on intent, determine actions needed to bring network into compliance with intent

• Outline intent architectures (possibly separate effort / draft)
• Identify areas that are candidates for standardization
• Outline opportunities and open questions for research
Next steps

• Revise new draft (possibly with new / additional authors) prior to June F2F interim
• Discuss at F2F interim in June
• Request adoption as NMRG work item to have nmrg-adopted draft in IETF 105 Montreal
Thank you!