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Note Well

This is a reminder of IETF policies in effect on various topics such as patents or code of conduct. It is only meant to point you in the right direction. Exceptions may apply. The IETF's patent policy and the definition of an IETF "contribution" and "participation" are set forth in BCP 79; please read it carefully.

As a reminder:

• By participating in the IETF, you agree to follow IETF processes and policies.
• If you are aware that any IETF contribution is covered by patents or patent applications that are owned or controlled by you or your sponsor, you must disclose that fact, or not participate in the discussion.
• As a participant in or attendee to any IETF activity you acknowledge that written, audio, video, and photographic records of meetings may be made public.
• Personal information that you provide to IETF will be handled in accordance with the IETF Privacy Statement.
• As a participant or attendee, you agree to work respectfully with other participants; please contact the ombudsteam (https://www.ietf.org/contact/ombudsteam/) if you have questions or concerns about this.

Definitive information is in the documents listed below and other IETF BCPs. For advice, please talk to WG chairs or ADs:
• BCP 9 (Internet Standards Process)
• BCP 25 (Working Group processes)
• BCP 25 (Anti-Harassment Procedures)
• BCP 54 (Code of Conduct)
• BCP 78 (Copyright)
• BCP 79 (Patents, Participation)
• https://www.ietf.org/privacy-policy/ (Privacy Policy)
Agenda

1. Agenda bashing, Logistics -- Chairs (<5min)
2. Chair’s review of document status and logistics - <5min
3. Use Cases - <5min
4. Document updates:
   1. Architecture - 5min
   2. Current data models & serializations (EAT, PSA, TUDA and Reference Interaction model) - 25min
   3. Information Model start – 10min
5. Use Cases – 10-20min
6. EAT (group discussion) - ?min
7. Next Steps – adoption readiness and AOB (~5-10min)
Chair(s) Review and Logistics

- Does the group object to using GitHub for tracking progress and issues for adopted drafts?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drafts</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>draft-mandyam-rats-eat</td>
<td>Adopted with issues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>draft-richardson-rats-usecases</td>
<td>Still in early stages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>draft-birkholz-rats-architecture</td>
<td>Readiness for adoption call?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>draft-birkholz-rats-reference-interaction-model</td>
<td>Readiness for adoption call?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>draft-birkholz-rats-basic-yang-module</td>
<td>Readiness for adoption call?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>draft-birkholz-rats-tuda</td>
<td>Readiness for adoption call?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>draft-mandyam-tokbind-attest</td>
<td>Readiness for adoption call?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>