### Routing in Fat Trees (RIFT) Interim

**Status** 

6/6/19

Tony Przygienda, Juniper

# Text changes in 'about to be published' -06 Draft

#### 5.4.5. Lifetime

Protecting lifetime on flooding may lead to excessive number of security fingerprint computation and hence an application generating such fingerprints on TIEs MAY round the value down to the next `rounddown\_lifetime\_interval` defined in the schema when sending TIEs albeit such optimization in presence of security hashes over advancing weak nonces may not be feasible.

#### 5.4.5. Lifetime

Protecting lifetime on flooding can lead to excessive number of security fingerprint computation and hence an application generating such fingerprints on TIEs SHOULD round the value down to the next `rounddown\_lifetime\_interval` defined in the schema when sending TIEs.

## Schema changes 1 in 'about to be published '-06 Draft

```
/** optional absolute timestamp when the TIE
    was generated. This can be used on fabrics with
    synchronized clock to prevent lifetime modification attacks. */

10: optional original lifetime when the TIE
    was generated. This can be used on fabrics with
    synchronized clock to prevent lifetime modification attacks. */

10: optional common.IEEE802_lASTimeStampType origination_time;

/** optional original lifetime when the TIE
    was generated. This can be used on fabrics with
    synchronized clock to prevent lifetime modification attacks. */

12: optional common.LifeTimeInSecType origination_lifetime;

}
```

```
struct TIEHeader {
    2: required TIEID
                                                  tieid:
    3: required common.SeqNrType
                                                  seq nr;
    /** remaining lifetime that expires down to 0 just like in ISIS.
       TIEs with lifetimes differing by less than `lifetime diff2ignore` MUST
        be considered EOUAL.
        When using security envelope,
        this is just a model placeholder for convienence
        that is never being modified during flooding. The real remaining lifetime
        is contained on the security envelope. */
   4: required common.LifeTimeInSecType
                                                  remaining lifetime;
    /** optional absolute timestamp when the TIE
        was generated. This can be used on fabrics with
        synchronized clock to prevent lifetime modification attacks. */
  10: optional common.IEEE802 lASTimeStampType origination time;
  /** optional original lifetime when the TIE
       was generated. This can be used on fabrics with
       synchronized clock to prevent lifetime modification attacks. */
  12: optional common.LifeTimeInSecType
                                                  origination lifetime;
```

# Schema changes 2 in 'about to be published' -06 Draft

/\*\* Header of a TIE as described in TIRE/TIDE.

7: optional bool

```
struct TIEHeaderWithLifeTime {
   1: required
                     TIEHeader
                                                         header;
   /** remaining lifetime that expires down to 0 just like in ISIS.
        TIEs with lifetimes differing by less than `lifetime diff2ignore` MUST
        be considered EQUAL. */
    2: required
                     common.LifeTimeInSecType
                                                         remaining lifetime;
struct PrefixAttributes {
                                                                                           struct PrefixAttributes {
                                            metric = common.default distance;
                                                                                                                                        metric = common.default distance;
    2: required common.MetricType
                                                                                               2: required common.MetricType
   /** generic unordered set of route tags, can be redistributed to other protocols or use
                                                                                                /** generic unordered set of route tags, can be redistributed to other protocols or use
                                                                                                   within the context of real time analytics */
       within the context of real time analytics */
    3: optional set<common.RouteTagType>
                                                                                               3: optional set<common.RouteTagType>
                                                                                                                                         tags;
    /** optional monotonic clock for mobile addresses */
                                                                                               /** optional monotonic clock for mobile addresses */
    4: optional common.PrefixSequenceType
                                            monotonic clock;
                                                                                               4: optional common.PrefixSequenceType
                                                                                                                                        monotonic clock;
    /** optionally indicates the interface is a node loopback */
    6: optional bool
                                            loopback = false;
    /** indicates that the prefix is directly attached, i.e. should be routed to even if
        the node is in overload. **/
```

directly attached = true:

### 'About to be published' Applicability Draft

- In the usual repo
  - <a href="https://bitbucket.org/riftrfc/rift">https://bitbucket.org/riftrfc/rift</a> draft/src/master/draft-rift-applicability-00.x ml

1.	Introd	uction			2
2.	Proble	m statement of a Fat Tree network in modern IP f	abı	cic	2
3.	Why ri	tf is chosen to address this use case			3
3.	1. Ov	erview of RIFT			3
3.	2. Ap	plicable Topologies			5
	3.2.1.	Horizontal Links			6
	3.2.2.	Vertical Shortcuts			6
3.	3. Us	e Cases			6
	3.3.1.	DC Fabrics			6
	3.3.2.	Metro Fabrics			6
	3.3.3.	Building Cabling			6
	3.3.4.	Internal Router Switching Fabrics			7
	3.3.5.	CloudCO			7
4.	Operat	ional Simplifications and Considerations			9
4.	1. Au	tomatic Disaggregation			10
	4.1.1.	South reflection			10
	4.1.2.	Suboptimal routing upon link failure use case			10
	4.1.3.	Black-holing upon link failure use case			12
4.	2. Us	age of ZTP			13

# 'About to happen' Juniper vs. Python-RIFT Interop with Security Envelope

- Python-RIFT has full single plane implementation now
- 0.11 Juniper about to be released (-06 draft implementation)
- Python-RIFT will need minimal update to new schema changes
- Interop planned off-line before IETF
- That would be then two full RIFT implementations for single plane
- After interop 0.11 Juniper will be released to public
  - Will include specification of
    - Configuration API
    - Operational state API
    - Real-time analytics API

#### THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION