

I E T F° 6man WG IETF 107 – Virtual (March 31, 2020)

draft-ietf-6man-spring-srv6-oam-04.txt SRv6 OAM

Zafar Ali - Cisco Systems (<u>zali@cisco.com</u>) - Presenter Clarence Filsfils - Cisco Systems (<u>cfilsfil@cisco.com</u>) Satoru Matsushima – Softbank (<u>satoru.matsushima@g.softbank.co.jp</u>) Daniel Voyer - Bell Canada (<u>daniel.voyer@bell.ca</u>) Mach Chen – Huawei (<u>mach.chen@huawei.com</u>)

List of Contributors

- Nagendra Kumar (<u>naikumar@cisco.com)</u>
- Carlos Pignataro (cpignata@cisco.com)
- Rakesh Gandhi (rgandhi@cisco.com)
- Darren Dukes (<u>ddukes@cisco.com</u>)
- Frank Brockners (<u>fbrockne@cisco.com</u>)
- Cheng Li (<u>chengli13@huawei.com</u>)
- John Leddy Individual (john@leddy.net)
- Robert Raszuk Bloomberg LP (<u>robert@raszuk.net</u>)
- Gaurav Dawra LinkedIn (gdawra.ietf@gmail.com)
- Bart Peirens Proximus (<u>bart.peirens@proximus.com</u>)
- Faisal Iqbal Individual (<u>faisal.ietf@gmail.com</u>)

History of the Draft

- The first revision was posted in July 2017
- 11 revisions since the individual draft submission
- The WG LC started on December 4, 2019
 - <u>https://github.com/ietf-6man/srv6-oam</u> is used to post incremental changes
 - 17 commits are made to the github repository
 - The most recent changes has been posted as rev-4
- Actively discussed on the mailing list
 - Many thanks for your comments.

Summary of Changes During LC

- Removed TLV section
- · Clarified the externally observable behavior for the O-flag processing
- Added illustration section for O-flag processing
- Clarified scope of O-flag for passive OAM
- · Added clarification text w.r.t. in-situ OAM and the use of O-bit for passive OAM
- Clarified processing of the O-Flag when SL = 0
- Added text to clarify the externally observable behavior related to the OAM process
- Removed END.OTP SID
- Added clarification text that no special OAM consideration is needed to handle PSP SIDs
- Removed section 4.1.3. on ICMP Error Reporting
- Added IANA consideration for O-flag registry.
- Many editorial changes

Deployment Status

- 6 deployments of the draft in the production networks
 - Softbank, China Telecom, Iliad, China Unicom, CERNET2, MTN Uganda
- More deployments not publicly disclosed.

Source: draft-matsushima-spring-srv6-deployment-status

Implementation Status

- Supported by at least 12 platforms with shipping implementation.
- Additional known implementations.

Source: draft-matsushima-spring-srv6-deployment-status

Interoperability Status

- In March 2019, the European Advanced Networking Test Center (EANTC) successfully validated multiple implementations of the drafts.
- Results for Multi-vendor Interoperability Testing was showcased at MPLS World congress in April 2019.
- Authors are aware of additional private interoperability testing between different vendors.

Source: draft-matsushima-spring-srv6-deployment-status

Next Steps

- Authors have addressed comments received from the WG.
- The authors request review the latest (rev-4) of the draft.