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JSON, CBOR: Coding efficiency

- CBOR can be more efficient than JSON, in particular if the data model is specifically designed for CBOR (e.g., integer labels in maps)
- Simply encoding JSON data in CBOR reaps less gain
- Significant redundancy often remains
  - Can be removed by, e.g. DEFLATE (RFC 1951)
  - Compression requires decompression before use, though
- Alternative: Exploiting structure and prefix sharing by “Packing”
  - CBOR data item can be used while remaining packed
Item Sharing
(née Structure Sharing)

- Many data items nested in a larger data item repeat
  - E.g., strings used for labels or enums
- Idea: Provide one copy of repeated item and share it
- Item is
  - put into an item sharing array,
  - referenced in the places where a copy is needed
Prefix/Suffix (Affix) Sharing

• data items often share a **prefix** or a **suffix (an affix)**
  • E.g., initial parts of URIs are often similar

• Idea: Provide one copy of repeated affix and **share** it

• Shared -fix is
  • put into a prefix array or suffix array,
  • referenced in the places where a copy is needed

• –00 only defines this for (byte and text) strings; extend to arrays and maps
Structure of packed CBOR (-00)

- Packed data item is an array tagged with tag 6:

```
  0 1 2 3 4 5 6
- 6(                                                             )
```

- Rump can reference shared items; shared items can, too (yes, needs loop detection)

- Items can use a prefix (identified by a tag) plus a supplied suffix, or a suffix plus a supplied prefix
Elements of a generalization

• Cbor-packed has two major components:
  • Referents that can be used in place of a data item
    • Need to use a namespace to identify what is being referenced
    • Short (= early) names are good
    • Items/prefixes/suffixes don’t mix much ➔ separate namespaces are good
  • Tables that populate the namespaces
    • –00 has two (item, prefix), self-contained
    • Proposal: add dictionaries to share (!) the populations
      • From outer structure in CBOR data item
      • From some registered or (hash-)identified space
-00: efficient Item and Prefix references

- Item references: 16 simple values (1+0), one single-byte Tag → 48+512+131072 (1+1, 1+2, 1+4)

- Prefix references: Reuse tag; use more tags (32+4096+268435456) Do the same (but not necessarily the same sizes) separately for suffix

- Total reservation: 4/7 simple values, 1 1+0 tag (1/24), 1/8 1+1, 1/16 1+2, ...

- Worth it if we think this will be a widely used part of CBOR

- Could be less aggressive and less efficient, but why?
How to build tables

• Position in table is relevant
  • At least within a bucket:
    • Items: 16, 48, 512, 131072
    • Prefixes/Suffixes: 32, 4096, 268435456

• Combining imported and locally defined tables
  • Use imported only?  Use locally defined only (= –00)?
  • When using both, sequence becomes important when a bucket overflows
How to reference dictionaries (external tables)

• Referencing (and table building!) scheme could be orthogonal to packing scheme

• URIs: Identify + locate

• Hashes: Identify only

• (IANA-)Registered dictionaries: Identify; locate if known
Strawman: add after end of local table

Building tables from multiple sources

- Per-bucket structure (4i+3p+3s buckets total!); add at end
- Overflow goes to end of next higher bucket of same type that has space
- Requires a defined sequence of subtables
  - Local, then dictionaries in defined order?
  - Define sequence in structure that provides values/references?