

A large, empty conference room with rows of red chairs and a white screen at the front. The room has a high ceiling with recessed lighting and wood-paneled walls. The chairs are arranged in neat rows, and the screen is positioned at the front of the room.

# COSE - Interim 2020-08-26

2020-08-26 @ 16:00 UTC

# NOTE WELL



This is a reminder of IETF policies in effect on various topics such as patents or code of conduct. It is only meant to point you in the right direction. Exceptions may apply. The IETF's patent policy and the definition of an IETF "contribution" and "participation" are set forth in BCP 79; please read it carefully.

As a reminder:

- By participating in the IETF, you agree to follow IETF processes and policies.
- If you are aware that any IETF contribution is covered by patents or patent applications that are owned or controlled by you or your sponsor, you must disclose that fact, or not participate in the discussion.
- As a participant in or attendee to any IETF activity you acknowledge that written, audio, video, and photographic records of meetings may be made public.
- Personal information that you provide to IETF will be handled in accordance with the IETF Privacy Statement.
- As a participant or attendee, you agree to work respectfully with other participants; please contact the ombudsteam (<https://www.ietf.org/contact/ombudsteam/>) if you have questions or concerns about this.

Definitive information is in the documents listed below and other IETF BCPs. For advice, please talk to WG chairs or ADs:

- [BCP 9](#) (Internet Standards Process)
- [BCP 25](#) (Working Group processes)
- [BCP 25](#) (Anti-Harassment Procedures)
- [BCP 54](#) (Code of Conduct)
- [BCP 78](#) (Copyright)
- [BCP 79](#) (Patents, Participation)
- <https://www.iehttps://www.rfc-editor.org/info/bcp9tf.org/privacy-policy/> (Privacy Policy)

# Administrivia

- Bluesheets
- Note Taker(s)
- Jabber Scribe
- Agenda + Bartering



# Agenda




- ~~→ 0. Administrivia (Chairs) - 5 minutes~~
- 1. CounterSignature Recap (Chairs) - 5 minutes
- 2. Progression of -8152bis-struct (Jim Schaad) - 20 minutes
- 3. CounterSignature v2 Proposal (Jim Schaad) - 20 minutes
- 4. Wrap-up




# Recap - Outcomes

## What We Have



Counter signature for *some* structs  
Others does not actually  
countersign

## What We Want



Actually countersign for **all** structs



# Recap - Hum Results

- *(strong) Fix the problem*
- *(strong) Deprecate old algorithm and define a new one*
- (weak) Be as inclusive as possible on what to countersign

# Progressing rfc8152bis-struct



In -rfc8152bis-struct ...

1. No countersignature?
2. Current countersignature?
3. Next countersignature?

In separate document(s) ...

1. Current countersignature?
2. Next countersignature?



# CounterSignature v2





EOF