

# **LPWAN WG**

WG Chairs: Alexander Pelov <a@ackl.io> Pascal Thubert <pthubert@cisco.com>

> AD: Eric Vyncke <evyncke@cisco.com>

Interim, November 3<sup>rd</sup>, 2020

Webex

### Note Well

This is a reminder of IETF policies in effect on various topics such as patents or code of conduct. It is only meant to point you in the right direction. Exceptions may apply. The IETF's patent policy and the definition of an IETF "contribution" and "participation" are set forth in BCP 79; please read it carefully.

As a reminder:

- By participating in the IETF, you agree to follow IETF processes and policies.
- If you are aware that any IETF contribution is covered by patents or patent applications that are owned or controlled by you or your sponsor, you must disclose that fact, or not participate in the discussion.
- As a participant in or attendee to any IETF activity you acknowledge that written, audio, video, and photographic records of meetings may be made public.
- Personal information that you provide to IETF will be handled in accordance with the IETF Privacy Statement.
- As a participant or attendee, you agree to work respectfully with other participants; please contact the ombudsteam ( <u>https://www.ietf.org/contact/ombudsteam/</u>) if you have questions or concerns about this.

Definitive information is in the documents listed below and other IETF BCPs. For advice, please talk to WG chairs or ADs:

**BCP 9** (Internet Standards Process)

**BCP 25** (Working Group processes)

**BCP 25** (Anti-Harassment Procedures)

BCP 54 (Code of Conduct)

BCP 78 (Copyright)

BCP 79 (Patents, Participation)

**T** F <u>https://www.ietf.org/privacy-policy/</u> (Privacy Policy)



### Reminder:

## Minutes are taken \* This meeting might be recorded \*\* Presence is logged \*\*\*

\* Please contribute to the minutes at: <u>https://codimd.ietf.org/notes-ietf-interim-2020-lpwan-16-lpwan?both</u> \*\* Recordings and Minutes are public and may be subject to discovery in the event of litigation. \*\*\* From the Webex login

# Agenda bashing

[16:05] Administrivia
o Note-Well, Scribes, Agenda Bashing
o WG Status, IETF 109 News
[16:15] SCHC over LoRaWAN
[16:30] CoAP over SCHC
[15min] [16:45] Open Bar / AOB
[QS]

[10min]

( LPWAN )

[15min]

## WG Status

#### Milestones

#### Date + Milestone

Jul 2021 Produce a Standards Track document to enable operations, administration and maintenance (OAM) to the LPWAN device, including support for delayed or proxied liveness verification (Ping)

Feb 2021 Produce a Standards Track document to define the generic data models to formalize the compression and fragmentation contexts for LPWANs

Dec 2020 Produce Standard Track documents to apply SCHC IPv6/UDP over the baseline technologies

May 2020 Perform SCHC Maintenance, including enabling SCHC mechanisms for Upper layer Protocols



LPWAN

## **Documents advancement**

Document	Date	+ Status	- IPR ÷	AD / Shepherd 🗢
Active Internet-Drafts (5 hits)				
<ul> <li>draft-ietf-lpwan-coap-static-context-hc-16</li> <li>LPWAN Static Context Header Compression (SCHC) for CoAP</li> </ul>	<b>2020-10-20</b> 31 pages	IESG Evaluation::AD Followup for 110 days New Submitted to IESG for Publication: Proposed Standard Reviews: genart, iotdir, opsdir, secdir, tsvart		Éric Vyncke ⊠ Pascal Thubert ⊠
<ul> <li>draft-ietf-lpwan-schc-over-lorawan-13</li> <li>Static Context Header Compression (SCHC) over LoRaWAN</li> </ul>	<b>2020-10-30</b> 28 pages	IESG Evaluation for 15 days New IESG telechat: 2020-11-05 Submitted to IESG for Publication: Proposed Standard Reviews: genart, iotdir, opsdir, secdir, tsvart	1	Éric Vyncke ⊠ Dominique Barthel ⊠
□ draft-ietf-lpwan-schc-over-nbiot-03 □ SCHC over NB-IoT	2020-07-13 23 pages	I-D Exists WG Document		Éric Vyncke 🖂
<ul> <li>draft-ietf-lpwan-schc-over-sigfox-04</li> <li>SCHC over Sigfox LPWAN</li> </ul>	<b>2020-11-02</b> 14 pages	I-D Exists New WG Document		Éric Vyncke 🖂
<ul> <li>draft-ietf-lpwan-schc-yang-data-model-03</li> <li>Data Model for Static Context Header Compression (SCHC)</li> </ul>	2020-07-10 42 pages	I-D Exists WG Document		Éric Vyncke 🖂
RFCs (2 hits)				
<ul> <li>RFC 8724 (was draft-ietf-lpwan-ipv6-static-context-hc)</li> <li>SCHC: Generic Framework for Static Context Header Compression and Fragmentation</li> </ul>	<b>2020-04</b> 71 pages	Proposed Standard RFC		Suresh Krishnan ⊠ Pascal Thubert ⊠
<ul> <li>RFC 8376 (was draft-ietf-lpwan-overview)</li> <li>Low-Power Wide Area Network (LPWAN) Overview</li> </ul>	<b>2018-05</b> 43 pages	Informational RFC		Suresh Krishnan ⊠ Alexander Pelov ⊠
Document	Date	+ Status + IPR	+ AD / Shepherd	\$
Related Internet-Draft (1 hit)				
<ul> <li>draft-barthel-lpwan-oam-schc-02</li> <li>OAM for LPWAN using Static Context Header Compression (SCHC)</li> </ul>	<b>2020-11</b> - 14 pages	2 I-D Exists		

#### Interim, November 3<sup>rd</sup>, 2020

(( LPWAN ))



# IETF 109 Next Important Dates

•2020-10-09 (Friday): Cut-off date for Area Directors to approve BOFs
•2020-10-16 (Friday): Early Bird registration and payment cut-off
•2020-10-16 (Friday): Preliminary Agenda published for comment.
•2020-10-21 (Wednesday): Cut-off date for requests to reschedule WG
•2020-10-23 (Friday): Final agenda to be published.
•2020-11-02 (Monday): Standard rate registration and payment cut-off
•2020-11-02 (Monday): Internet Draft submission cut-off (for all

drafts)



## Status: draft-ietf-lpwan-schc-overlorawan

## Editors: Ivaylo Petrov (ivaylo@ackl.io) Olivier Gimenez (ogimenez@semtech.com)



## Agenda

- Changes since 06/10/2020
- Next steps



## Changes since last interim

- Published draft-11, draft-12, draft-13
- IESG Evaluation:



No Objection Roman Danyliw Martin Duke Erik Kline Martin Vigoureux



## Published drafts

- Draft-11
  - Clarification on last tile position thanks to Arun comment
  - Clarifications thanks to Rahul (iot dir) comments
  - Clarifications thanks to Robert (genart dir) comments
- Draft-12
  - Fix merge issue
- Draft-13
  - Clarifications following Martin Duke (IESG) comments
  - Clarifications following Dominique comments (mainly on figures)



## Next steps

- Planned for IESG telechat on 05/11/2020
- Question from Erik Kline on IID algorithm:

[ section 5.3 ]

\* Is this MUST really necessary? If an implementation wanted to, say, read 8 bytes from a good /dev/urandom source wouldn't that also be okay? Seems like SHOULD would suffice (with a MUST NOT comment about not just using DevEUI etc).

Answer: Yes as both device and SCHC gateway need to be able to create the IID, it avoid IID synchronization between them.



## Status: draft-ietf-lpwan-coap-staticcontext-hc

Ana Minaburo Laurent Toutain Ricardo Andreasen



# AOB ?