Multicast to the Browser

mboned status update interim meeting, 2020-04-21

Jake Holland, Akamai

Drafts adopted -- March 10, 2020

- DORMS: draft-ietf-mboned-dorms
 - "Discovery of RESTCONF Metadata for SSM"
 - Provides Discoverable Public Metadata per-(S,G)
 - extended by AMBI and CBACC
- AMBI: draft-ietf-mboned-ambi
 - "Asymmetric Manifest-Based Integrity"
 - Provides Source-authenticated Data Integrity
- CBACC: draft-ietf-mboned-cbacc
 - "Circuit Breaker Assisted Congestion Control"
 - Provides Bandwidth Management

Design Presented @IETF106 mboned meeting:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ttGJyd5is2w&t=58m45s

Doc Progress (text pending)

Off-list reviews on all 3 drafts from Dino:

```
$ wc notes-dino-*
1528    15129    84171 notes-dino-ambi.txt
590    6480    36454 notes-dino-cbacc.txt
164    2062    11999 notes-dino-dorms.txt
```

DORMS TBDs

- Minor clarity enhancements
 - "client" vs. "receiver"--consistency & definition cleanup
 - State (S,G) already known by other means
- Explain primary value is across management domains
 - App layer can learn metadata from service provider, but other layers can't without knowing app-layer protocol (possibly proprietary):
 - Browser (with web app in renderer process/javascript)
 - OS (with local app, app in browser, VM, etc.)
 - Network (local or further upstream)
 - o purpose of metadata is to provide standardized info to those who need it

CBACC TBDs

- From Feedback: Major clarifications agreed in principle
 - Terminology overhaul: CB Ingress/Egress terminology collides with data forwarding
 - Add "Operational Considerations" section (*tentative)
 - be sure to discuss multiple CBs in same network, possibilities for interactions (particularly some optimizations that may be possible)
- Flesh out aggregation algorithm (Fairness & Interflow Ordering section)
- Implementation Design Considerations section TBDs
- Example network diagrams? (probably in Operational Considerations)
 - o pick topologies to illustrate topology-relevant config settings

AMBI TBDs

- Is it too complicated? (Fundamental question)
 - Got this feedback from 3 people so far. Possibly a hint
 - No suggestions yet for an alternative that does the same job
 - Will update mboned if anyone tells me a simpler solution that works
- Add section covering rejected designs that don't work
 - this is a major component of the "too simple" feedback
 - particularly explain why shared keys are out
 - include dangers of data injection
- Highlight better:
 - AMBI is OPTIONAL in-network
 - o contexts that need integrity (e.g. browser) can use any alternative.
- Doc Priority: get into shape for requesting a security review
 - after making sure we can run it ok (including fanout)

Running code: DORMS server (jetconf)

- Off-the-shelf RESTCONF implementation
 - https://jetconf.readthedocs.io/en/latest/
 - YANG models integrated
 - changes in crypto-types dependency, doc update pending
- Not yet publicly deployed
 - but not a lab demo either
 - running on the right internal infrastructure
 - hopefully public before long

Running code: Browser work ongoing

- Dev team engaged, internal POC next month:
 - Play video from our LMS* product
 - Using Web Assembly SDK in custom chromium build
- Next steps: upstream to chromium
 - API cleanup begun
 - now based on ReadableStream API, like webtransport
 - Google Contributor's License Agreement approved
 - "Intent to Prototype" coming soon (assuming POC passes)
 - first steps in external engagement
 - Code will be BSD-licensed
- Other browsers TBD

Running code: Other Next Steps

- libmcrx extensions
 - Add Windows support
 - Add AMBI support
 - Maintain table of hashes, compare vs. packets
 - loss/anomaly stats export
- AMBI sender
 - Cloud/CDN fanout
 - mime type + HTTPS framing, probably
 - starting from 106 hackathon output: https://github.com/GrumpyOldTroll/ambi
- doc updates
 - probable co-author and major updates for w3c API specs
 - IETF draft cleanup
- (maybe) AMBI auth for multicast-ingest-platform?

Main goals for 2020

- Significant Upstreaming of Browser API -- MulticastReceiver:
 - "To a first approximation, all web pages are malicious."
 - Initial proposal: https://discourse.wicg.io/t/proposal-multicastreceiver-api/3939
 - And update spec to match implementation
 - Secure data path authentication: <u>draft-ietf-mboned-ambi</u>
 - Bandwidth abuse prevention: <u>draft-ietf-mboned-cbacc</u>
- Trials with carriers
 - Make sure the AMT ingest architecture works for >1 carrier
 - Integrate cbacc with at least 1 bandwidth controller
 - Scale to real content with:
 - browser experimental feature on live web pages, and/or
 - content owner's app (Android STB)

Participation

Trials/POC this year?

- Interested carriers and content owners, please contact:
 - <u>iholland@akamai.com</u>: Jake Holland (presenter, draft author)
 - o <u>jataylor@akamai.com</u>: James Taylor (business director, DISRUPT project)
- 1-hour Architecture walkthrough
 - questions answered
 - AS-specific achievable offload estimates (peak & typical)
 - ultimate (~3-5yr) target offloads: **50% peak, 20% typical overall** traffic
 - including popular downloads, popular VOD, popular live
 - further discussions as warranted