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Note Well

This is a reminder of IETF policies in effect on various topics such as patents or code of conduct. It is only meant to point you in the right direction. Exceptions may apply. The IETF's patent policy and the definition of an IETF "contribution" and "participation" are set forth in BCP 79; please read it carefully.

As a reminder:

- By participating in the IETF, you agree to follow IETF processes and policies.
- If you are aware that any IETF contribution is covered by patents or patent applications that are owned or controlled by you or your sponsor, you must disclose that fact, or not participate in the discussion.
- As a participant in or attendee to any IETF activity you acknowledge that written, audio, video, and photographic records of meetings may be made public.
- Personal information that you provide to IETF will be handled in accordance with the IETF Privacy Statement.
- As a participant or attendee, you agree to work respectfully with other participants; please contact the ombudsteam (https://www.ietf.org/contact/ombudsteam/) if you have questions or concerns about this.

Definitive information is in the documents listed below and other IETF BCPs. For advice, please talk to WG chairs or ADs:

- BCP 9 (Internet Standards Process)
- BCP 25 (Working Group processes)
- BCP 25 (Anti-Harassment Procedures)
- BCP 54 (Code of Conduct)
- BCP 78 (Copyright)
- BCP 79 (Patents, Participation)
Agenda

- Agenda Bashing
- Brief Program Introduction and Review
- Polls and future meetings
- Discussion points:
  - What do we want from the series?
  - What roles are defined and what is their relationship to one another?
- AOB
Program Information

- Charter
- Mailing List
  https://www.iab.org/mailman/listinfo/rfced-future
- GitHub
  https://github.com/intarchboard/rfced-future
Purpose of the program

This program is intended to foster discussion and consensus on potential changes to the RFC Editor model. Discussion of changes to how the RFC Editor function is managed, staffed, and overseen are all within scope. After the group has come to rough consensus, it will document its output in one or more RFCs.
From the charter

[...] This program has no predetermined constraints on the decisions of the group. Updates to or retention of the oversight model, management, and the roles involved in the RFC Editor function are all within scope.[...]
Work Program

- Seek agreement on what problems to solve
- Go and solve them

We are here
Top Topics

- What roles are defined and what is their relationship to one another?
  - To whom is each accountable?
  - How is that accountability expressed?
  - Who sets strategy?
  - Who owns the series?
  - Who has the authority to impose minimum standards for editing of docs?
  - Who is responsible for setting and insuring compliance with publishing standards?
  - Who has overall tooling responsibility?

- What do we want from the series?

- RFC Editor Qualities
  - What publishing experience is the RSE expected to have?
  - What technical capabilities should the RSE be expected to have?
  - Is an understanding of archival tools important for the RSE role?