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Note Well

This is a reminder of IETF policies in effect on various topics such as patents or code of conduct. It is only meant to point you in the right direction. Exceptions may apply. The IETF's patent policy and the definition of an IETF "contribution" and "participation" are set forth in BCP 79; please read it carefully.

As a reminder:

- By participating in the IETF, you agree to follow IETF processes and policies.
- If you are aware that any IETF contribution is covered by patents or patent applications that are owned or controlled by you or your sponsor, you must disclose that fact, or not participate in the discussion.
- As a participant in or attendee to any IETF activity you acknowledge that written, audio, video, and photographic records of meetings may be made public.
- Personal information that you provide to IETF will be handled in accordance with the IETF Privacy Statement.
- As a participant or attendee, you agree to work respectfully with other participants; please contact the ombudsteam (https://www.ietf.org/contact/ombudsteam/) if you have questions or concerns about this.

Definitive information is in the documents listed below and other IETF BCPs. For advice, please talk to WG chairs or ADs:

BCP 9 (Internet Standards Process)
BCP 25 (Working Group processes)
BCP 25 (Anti-Harassment Procedures)
BCP 54 (Code of Conduct)
BCP 78 (Copyright)
BCP 79 (Patents, Participation)
https://www.ietf.org/privacy-policy/ (Privacy Policy)

Source: https://www.ietf.org/about(note-well)
Meeting Materials

- Session: Friday 2020/06/26
- Remote Participation
  - Slides: https://datatracker.ietf.org/meeting/interim-2020-roll-04/session/roll
  - Minutes taker: Please volunteer, thank you :)
- Please sign blue sheets = add your name into the etherpad please :-)}
# Agenda

## ROLL Interim Meeting

### AGENDA

Friday, June 26, 2020


Github where the link to the recording will be posted:
https://github.com/roll-wg/ROLL-Interim-Meeting/tree/master/20200626

Material: https://datatracker.ietf.org/meeting/interim-2020-roll-04/session/roll

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time (UTC)</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Presenter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>13:00 - 13:30</td>
<td>WG Status</td>
<td>Ines/Dominique</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[30 min]</td>
<td>- status of unaware-leaves</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- status of capabilities draft</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- status of mopex draft</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- status of useofrplinfo</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13:30 - 13:50</td>
<td>status of DIS Modification draft</td>
<td>Georgios</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[20 min]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13:50 - 14:10</td>
<td>draft-thubert-roll-eliding-dio-information</td>
<td>Pascal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[20 min]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14:10 - 15:00</td>
<td>Open Floor</td>
<td>Everyone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[50 min]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Milestones

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Milestone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Dec 2020 | Initial submission of Mode of Operation extension and Capabilities for RPL to the IESG  
  draft-ietf-roll-mopex-cap |
| Oct 2020 | Recharter WG or close                                                     |
| Jul 2020 | Initial submission of a root initiated routing state in RPL to the IESG  
  draft-ietf-roll-dao-projection |
| Jul 2020 | Initial submission of a YANG model for MPL to the IESG  
  draft-ietf-roll-mpl-yang |
| Jun 2020 | Initial submission of Enabling secure network enrollment in RPL networks draft to the IESG  
  draft-ietf-roll-enrollment-priority |
| Jun 2020 | Initial submission of a proposal to augment DIS flags and options to the IESG  
  draft-ietf-roll-dis-modifications |
| Jun 2020 | Initial submission of a proposal for Source-Route Multicast for RPL to the IESG  
  draft-ietf-roll-ccast |
| Mar 2020 | Initial submission of Common Ancestor Objective Functions and Parent Set DAG Metric Container Extension to the IESG  
  draft-ietf-roll-nsa-extension |
| Mar 2020 | Initial Submission of a proposal with uses cases for RPI, RH3 and IPv6-in-IPv6 encapsulation to the IESG  
  draft-ietf-roll-useofrplinfo |

## Done milestones

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Milestone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Done | Initial submission to the IESG of mechanism to turn on RFC8138 compression feature within a RPL network  
  draft-ietf-roll-turnon-rfc8138 |
| Done | Initial submission of routing for RPL Leaves draft to the IESG  
  draft-ietf-roll-unaware-leaves |
| Done | Initial submission of a reactive P2P route discovery mechanism based on AODV-RPL protocol to the IESG  
  draft-ietf-roll-aodv-rpl |
| Done | Initial submission of a solution to the problems due to the use of No-Path DAO Messages to the IESG  
  draft-ietf-roll-efficient-npdao |
Status of current topics

- Status of draft-ietf-roll-aodv-rpl
  - version 08
    - Addressed tickets 194 (Introduction), 195 (Standard or Experimental), Ticket 196 (replacing RFC6997), 197 (Link checks), #198 (nits)
    - New Ticket: 199 (Part I), 200 (Part II)
    - **Action point:** Comments to be addressed by the authors.
  - Current Status: AD-Evaluation
Status of current topics

- status of draft-ietf-roll-unaware-leaves
  - Version 18 updates:
    - Rahul spotted leftover text on RUL signaling in a storing mode RPL domain. That text was obsolete since we decided to use non-storing signaling only. This was removed in -16
    - Optimize and remove the anonymous EDAR, since it never traverses a storing mode path that could cause the loss of the ROVR. This was removed in -17 and 18. Forced the DAO-ACK for a RUL so the 6LR knows for sure it can respond positively to the RUL.
  - Action point:
    - Request for comments to the community
MOPex & CAP updates
## RPL Extended Control Options

<p>| | | | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Currently, 0x80 to 0xFF reserved for RPL Extended Control Options

Another point: Set aside bigger range for ext control option from 0x40 to 0xff

Note: Extended Option can still serve as regular Options with an extra byte.
Capabilities Query/Response

• A node should be able to query the list of supported capabilities
• A node should be able to query specific capabilities details
• A set of capabilities to respond may exceed MTU. Thus a node should be able to send caps in multiple response messages.
**CAPQ**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| +-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+ |
| | RPLInstanceID | Flags | reserved | CAPQSequence | |
| +-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+ |
| Option(s)... |
| +-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+ |

Figure 3: CAPQ base object

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| +-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+ |
| | Type = TODO | Option Length | CapType1 | CapType2 | |
| +-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+ |
| CapType3 | ..... |
| +-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+ |

Figure 4: Capability Type List Control Option
The Options would be set of capabilities that are requested. CAPS can only be sent in response to CAPQ.
Query supported Capability List

Root (or any node) 6LR/6LN

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAPQ(seq=1, opts=nil)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CAPS(seq=1, opts={CapTypeList})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 8: Query supported Cap Types
Figure 9: Query specific Cap Set
Query response with partial set

Partial Capability Set handshake
Status of current topics

- status of useofrplinfo
  - Was pulled from RFC Editor in July 2019, version -31
  - New version -40
    - Nits fixed
  - Comments welcome from community
  - Shepherd write-up updated, changelog provided.
  - **Action Point:** Ready to return it to IESG?
## State of Active Internet-Drafts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Draft</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>draft-ietf-roll-DAO-projection-10</td>
<td>New version - Close open tickets if are solved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>draft-ietf-roll-dis-modifications-01</td>
<td>Discussion Today</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>draft-ietf-roll-efficient-npdao-18</td>
<td>RFC Ed Queue - New version - Discussed today</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>draft-ietf-roll-enrollment-priority-02</td>
<td>Work in progress - Review to be gotten at the end of July</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>draft-ietf-roll-NSA-extension-08</td>
<td>Work in progress - Shepherd assigned</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>draft-ietf-roll-RPL-observations-04</td>
<td>Work in progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>draft-ietf-roll-turnon-rfc8138-07</td>
<td>Submitted to the IESG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>draft-ietf-roll-unaware-leaves-18</td>
<td>Submitted to the IESG</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Related Internet-Drafts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Draft</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>draft-papadopoulos-roll-dis-mods-use-cases-00</td>
<td>Discussion Today</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>draft-thubert-roll-eliding-dio-information-04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>draft-baraq-roll-lbsa-00</td>
<td>No discussion initiated so far</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>draft-jadhav-roll-storing-rootack-01</td>
<td>Work in progress</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Open tickets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ticket</th>
<th>Summary</th>
<th>Component</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>#179</td>
<td>Security considerations for dao projection</td>
<td>dao-projection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#180</td>
<td>13 issues to address in dao projection draft (lifetime, MOP, retransmissions, route cleanup)</td>
<td>dao-projection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#187</td>
<td>New version of RFC6550 - Topics to include</td>
<td>rpl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#188</td>
<td>Should 6LBR be included into the DODAG root?</td>
<td>rpl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#199</td>
<td>Issues in version 08</td>
<td>aodv-rpl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#200</td>
<td>Issues in version 08 - Part II</td>
<td>aodv-rpl</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

https://trac.ietf.org/trac/roll/report/2
Open tickets

https://github.com/roll-wg/Capabilities/issues

- New message code for cap query/resp
  - #15 opened on May 25 by nyrahul

- New Options and backward compatibility problem
  - #11 opened on Apr 13 by nyrahul

- Add scenario for capabilities exchange
  - #3 opened on Aug 25, 2019 by nyrahul
  - needs draft-update

https://github.com/roll-wg/rpl-observations/issues

- Parent Address MUST be empty in Transit Information for storing MOP
  - #10 opened on Mar 16 by nyrahul

- Implications of using smaller lollipop counter window
  - #9 opened on Dec 12, 2019 by nyrahul

- Path Control bits handling
  - #6 opened on Nov 12, 2019 by nyrahul
## Open tickets

- ![Open tickets](https://github.com/roll-wg/draft-ietf-roll-enrollment-priority/issues)

- ![Open tickets](https://github.com/roll-wg/dao-projection/issues)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ticket</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Created</th>
<th>Created By</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>#7</td>
<td>Issues to address in dao projection draft (lifetime, MOP, retransmissions, route cleanup)</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>Nov 15, 2019</td>
<td>inesrob</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#6</td>
<td>Security considerations for dao projection</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>Nov 15, 2019</td>
<td>inesrob</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#5</td>
<td>should DAO projection have a new MOP?</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>Oct 28, 2019</td>
<td>mcr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#3</td>
<td>Information Missing in VIO abbreviation</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>Oct 27, 2019</td>
<td>rabinsahoo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#2</td>
<td>cleanup handling of common network segment for two P-DAO</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>Dec 16, 2018</td>
<td>nyrahul</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

https://github.com/roll-wg/dao-projection/issues
Status DIS modifications draft
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ROLL Virtual Interim
Changes Highlights

• 04 published in March, minor changes
• Needs WG attention to progress
• So far we were really busy
  • What with NP-DAO, RUL, turnon-RFC8138, UseOfRPLInfo drafts!
• Now a good time to reboot this?
• Next To Do’s
  • Adapt to new MOPEXT/ CAPABILITIES split
  • Addressing Rahul’s comments
Rahul’s comments

- Complexity of the AOO and RCSS per option
  - Would be simpler if all options progress with the RCSS

- Doable with the current specification
  - But needs capability signaling

- Alt to drop the idea completely
  - Huge simplification
  - but all options must be sent when the RCSS increments
WG recommendations

• RCSS per option with AOO, or global
• Adoption ?
What is this draft?

• The draft presents a method to safely elide a group of RPL options in a DIO message by synchronizing the state associated with each of these options between parent and child.

• This is achieved using a new sequence counter in DIO messages called RPL Configuration State Sequence (RCSS).

• A child that missed a DIO message with an update of any of those protected options detects it by the change of RCSS and queries the update with a DIS Message.

• The draft also provides a method to fully elide the options in a DAO message.
Proposed method

- New RPL Configuration State Sequence (RCSS)
- Updates base objects
  - DIO to add RCSS
  - DAO to indicate it is abbreviated
  - DIS base objects to query missing options
- New “Abbreviated Option” Option (AOO)
  - Replacement for a full option, indicates last RCSS
The protected options are:

1. The Route Information Option (RIO) defined in section 6.7.5 of [RPL]
2. The DODAG Configuration Option (DCO) defined in section 6.7.6 of [RPL]
3. The Prefix Information Option (PIO) defined in section 6.7.10 of [RPL]
4. The Extended MOP Option (MOPex) defined in [MOPEX-CAP]
5. The Global Capabilities Option (GCO) defined in [MOPEX-CAP]
New Abbreviated Option Option

- Used as replacement of the full option
- Indicates the RCSS of the last change for this option

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3: Abbreviated Option Option Format
Updated DIS object

• New bits to indicated requested options
• Last RCSS to which this node is synchronized

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3

| R | D | P | M | O | Flg | LastSync RCSS | Option(s)... |

Figure 2: Updated DIS Base Object
RCSS operation

- The RCSS applies to a DIO Message and a same value of the RCSS can be used in DIO messages that are sent consecutively with no change in the protected options.
- The RCSS is incremented by the Root using a lollipop technique.
- A reboot of the Root is detected when the RCSS moves from the circular to the straight part of the lollipop.
- During the straight part of the lollipop, a second reboot of the Root might not be recognized. For that reason the protected options MUST be provided in full with each increment on the RCSS during the straight part of the lollipop.
- When a field is modified in one of the protected options, the Root MUST send a DIO with an incremented RCSS and the modified protected option(s) in full.
Resync operation

A child can resynchronize any of the protected options to the latest RCSS by sending a DIS Message to a candidate parent that advertises that RCSS in DIO messages.

The child MUST set the desired combination of 'R', 'D', 'P', 'M' and 'O' flags to indicate the option(s) that it needs updated.

The child MUST signal in the Last Synchronized RCSS field of the DIS the freshest value of RCSS for which it was fully synchronized.

The DIO message that is sent in response MUST contain in full all the options that are requested and that were updated since the Last Synchronized RCSS in the DIS Message. The other options MUST be added in the abbreviated form.

The options MAY be spread over more than one DIO message sent in a quick sequence.
Next ROLL Meeting

- ROLL will not meet during July 2020 and thus not at IETF 108
- Action Point: Send Doodle for first weeks of September (after vacations)
Open Floor