

# ECT(1) and the Internet

TSVWG Interim Meeting – Feb 20, 2020

David L. Black  
(on behalf of the TSVWG Chairs)

# Note Well

This is a reminder of IETF policies in effect on various topics such as patents or code of conduct. It is only meant to point you in the right direction. Exceptions may apply. The IETF's patent policy and the definition of an IETF "contribution" and "participation" are set forth in BCP 79; please read it carefully.

As a reminder:

By participating in the IETF, you agree to follow IETF processes and policies.

If you are aware that any IETF contribution is covered by patents or patent applications that are owned or controlled by you or your sponsor, you must disclose that fact, or not participate in the discussion.

As a participant in or attendee to any IETF activity you acknowledge that written, audio, video, and photographic records of meetings may be made public.

Personal information that you provide to IETF will be handled in accordance with the IETF Privacy Statement.

As a participant or attendee, you agree to work respectfully with other participants; please contact the ombudsteam (<https://www.ietf.org/contact/ombudsteam/>) if you have questions or concerns about this.

Definitive information is in the documents listed below and other IETF BCPs. For advice, please talk to WG chairs or ADs:

BCP 9 (Internet Standards Process)  
BCP 25 (Working Group processes)  
BCP 25 (Anti-Harassment Procedures)  
BCP 54 (Code of Conduct)  
BCP 78 (Copyright)  
BCP 79 (Patents, Participation)  
<https://www.ietf.org/privacy-policy/> (Privacy Policy)



Now this is not the end. It is not even the beginning of the end. But it is, perhaps, the end of the beginning.

-- Winston Churchill (1942)

# The End of the Beginning

- TSVWG needs to make a decision about ECT(1) usage in the Internet
  - Core element of Issue #20 in the Issue Tracker
- TSVWG Chairs: Propose to make that decision in Vancouver (March)
  - But, what exactly is to be decided?
- The End of the Beginning: Agreeing on the decision to be made.

# ECT(1): Agreeing on the Decision to be Made

- Next two slides: TSVWG Chairs attempt to state the decision
  - Goal of this Interim Meeting: Rough consensus on decision to be made
  - Non-goal of this Interim Meeting: Actually make the decision
- Vancouver meeting plan (in order):
  1. Begin with revised versions of next two slides
  2. L4S and SCE each present a few slides on best use of ECT(1) for the Internet
    - TSVWG Chairs set time & content guidelines, review and post in advance (1 week or more)
  3. TSVWG Chairs frame and moderate Vancouver meeting discussion
  4. A small miracle happens, and the decision is made (we hope)
- Now: Review next two slides for content
  - Do not attempt to make decision now.

# DRAFT Vancouver SLIDE 1:

## Framing the ECT(1) Codepoint Decision

Background: RFC 4774 “Specifying Alternate Semantics for the Explicit Congestion Notification (ECN) Field”

- RFC 4774 assumes DSCP as signal of alternate ECN semantics.
- TSVWG situation: Two proposals that use ECT(1) as that signal [L4S, SCE]

Decision: How ECT(1) signals alternate ECN semantics to network:

- A. Input, e.g., classifier for “queue” selection [L4S]
- B. Output, e.g., indication of lesser degree of “queue” congestion [SCE]

At Internet scope: Choose at most one, not both.

## DRAFT Vancouver SLIDE 2:

# Friendly Coexistence with Competing Traffic

Both proposals [L4S, SCE] employ RFC 4774 Option 3 (section 4.3):

- Incremental Deployment Option 3: Friendly Coexistence with Competing Traffic
- Competing Traffic uses existing TCP congestion control, e.g., Reno, Cubic, etc.

Coexistence Focus: Shared bottleneck queue with ECN AQM [RFC 3168]

- FQ network nodes: No coexistence problems

Scenario: Traffic competition at shared bottleneck queue:

1. Starvation of one class of traffic is not an acceptable outcome.
  - a. Starvation may occur in network and/or at endpoints (e.g., caused by congestion response)
2. Competing Traffic drives bottleneck queue occupancy level.

Proposals need to explain how to deal with this scenario.