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Summary of main items

• Flow Independent of CON and NON
• Piggybacking
• Discovery
• OSCORE vs COSE for Last Exchange

• Other changes for v04
  • Tagged CBOR structure
Flow Independent of CON and NON

**Context**
Some CoAP implementations may only support NON

**Approach**
Add needed support at CoAP-EAP application level → Retransmissions at EAP level

---

**Resources**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>URI</th>
<th>EAP processed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>/y</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/z</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Keep track of last and current resources in case of retransmission

---

**IoT device/CoAP Server**

1. NON POST /well-known/coap-eap [NON, MID=50, Token, Options(No-response), Payload(/x)]

2. NON /x [CON, MID=0x20, Token, Payload(EAP Req/Id)]

3. NON [MID= 0x20, Token, 2.01 Created, Location-Path(/y), Payload(EAP Rep/Id)]

4. NON POST /y [CON, MID=0x32, Token, Payload(EAP-X 1)]

5. NON [MID=0x32, Token, 2.01 Created, Location-Path(/z), Payload(EAP-X 2)]

6. NON POST /z [CON, MID=3, Token, Payload(EAP-X n-1)]

---

**Controller/CoAP client**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>URI</th>
<th>EAP processed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>/x</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/y</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/z</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Keep track of ALL resources in case of retransmission
Piggybacking

Context
Some CoAP implementations may not support Piggybacking

Approach
Piggybacking is recommended, to save exchanges. In any case, if its not used, it should not be a problem from CoAP-EAP perspective.
Discovery

Context
Mechanisms to discover the IPv6 of the Controller

Approach
A first approach was to receive the IPv6 of the Border Router (e.g., IPv6 RA) and send there the initial message.

Other approaches:
- DHCPv6 [RFC8415]
- mDNS [RFC6762]
OSCORE vs COSE for Last Exchange

- MSK is used to generate the OSCORE security context
- The EAP peer (IoT device) needs the EAP success to make the MSK available to work with OSCORE
  - The resource cannot be associated with an OSCORE context
  - OSCORE ciphers the Payload and URI-Path, hence cannot be directed to the Application
- ALTERNATIVE: Use COSE with only integrity for key confirmation.

```
POST /xyz [CON, MID=4, Token, Option(OSCORE) Payload(EAP Success)]
ACK [MID=43, Token, 2.01 Created, Location-Path(/sYQ), Options(OSCORE)]
```
Tagged CBOR structure

Extensible CBOR structure
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