

Work in progress towards

Key Provisioning for Group Communication using ACE
draft-ietf-ace-key-groupcomm-14

Francesca Palombini, Ericsson
Marco Tiloca, RISE

ACE WG Interim Meeting, October 12th, 2021

What is going on

› Working on the two WGLC reviews

- Göran [1a] – Responses at [1b][1c]
- Cigdem [2a] – Response at [2b]

› Required changes split into three categories

- Editorial/nits **DONE**
- Clarifications **ALMOST DONE**
- Design changes **DONE (?)**

[1a] <https://mailarchive.ietf.org/arch/msg/ace/pr2gBhvqy9j8AfUdQVTZLwamXac/>

[1b] <https://mailarchive.ietf.org/arch/msg/ace/dEU04pB3u-iYNBwSlfjJaqkEvgo/>

[1c] <https://mailarchive.ietf.org/arch/msg/ace/Yo2T3febqosQJ94qcVxo9YaR1nc/>

[2a] https://mailarchive.ietf.org/arch/msg/ace/gv_uRo2Y45jqOLJghVSbAARWky0/

[2b] <https://mailarchive.ietf.org/arch/msg/ace/IL72zPmslgF2j0Bgm7zO2fUTEm8/>

Selected clarifications (1/2)

› General

- Early definition of "group" as security group
- Format/encoding of scope in Token Request/Response and token

› Token transferring to the KDC

- Fixed ambiguity of "POST /token" and "Token POST"
- Semantics of request/response to/from /authz-info
- Early explanation of what 'kdcchallenge' is intended for
- Semantics of 'sign_info' and 'get_pub_keys'

› Joining process

- Approaches for early knowledge of group configuration
- Association between public key and (NODENAME, GROUPNAME, token)
- More details in case of re-joining
- More details on 'control_uri' and 'group_policies'
- Example of administrative keying material transported in 'mgt_key_material'

Selected clarifications (2/2)

› Revised presentation of KDC interface

- Overview, operations and error handling
- Resource 1: handler 1 and example; handler 2 and example; ...
- Resource 2: handler 1 and example; handler 2 and example; ...
- ...

› Error handling

- Revised use of CoAP error codes
- Common checks and actions collected in a single early section
- Resource-specific checks that are common to all handlers are mentioned ASAP

› And many more editorial improvements ...

Design changes (1/3)

› **New parameters**

- Imported from *key-groupcomm-oscore* : 'kdc_nonce', 'kdc_cred', 'kdc_cred_verify'
 - › Potentially relevant to all profiles, e.g., due to signed one-to-many rekeying messages
- Brand new parameters 'group_rekeying_scheme' and 'control_group_uri'
 - › Intended especially, but not only, to support advanced rekeying schemes (e.g., over multicast)
 - › New IANA registry for values of 'group_rekeying_scheme'
 - › 'group_rekeying_scheme' = 0 is the basic point-to-point rekeying scheme

› **New resource ace-group/GROUPNAME/kdc_pub_key**

- Imported from *key-groupcomm-oscore*
- Used to retrieve the KDC's public key as group member

Design changes (2/3)

- › **Reasoned categorization of parameters – Expected support by ACE Clients**
 - MUST/SHOULD/MAY support categories; profiles may upgrade requirements to be stricter
 - Some are "conditional to support"; a profile must say if they are MUST/SHOULD/MAY to support
 - Profiles must categorize possible new parameters accordingly

- › **Guidelines on enhanced error responses, with ‘error’ and ‘error_description’**
 - Expected reaction from ACE Clients supporting these error responses
 - No need to use ‘error_description’ if no human intervention is expected

- › **Reasoned categorization of KDC functionalities**
 - What is minimally supported by ACE Clients (primary operations)
 - What can be additionally supported by ACE Clients (secondary operations)
 - Profiles must categorize possible new functionalities accordingly
 - Profiles must say if the KDC does not provide some of these functionalities

Design changes (3/3)

- › **Considerations and discussion on group rekeying and possible approaches**
 - All in a dedicated new Section 6 “Group Rekeying Process”
 - Minimal ACE Groupcomm parameters to be included
 - Public keys of about-to-join new members can be provided in a rekeying done upon their joining
 - Presented relevant approaches at a high-level
 - › (A) Point-to-point, possibly aided by CoAP Observe, with practical recommendations
 - › (B) Based on separate pub-sub rekeying topics
 - › (C) Based on one-to-many messages sent over multicast
 - › For (B)(C), proposal of message protection using COSE and administrative keying material
- › **(B)(C): details expected from separate specifications profiling the group rekeying scheme**
- › **This new Section 6 needs a good re-review!**

New requirements

› **Mandatory-to-address requirements**

- REQ2 : registration of “Toid” and “Tperm” if AIF-based scopes are used
- REQ8 : define if the KDC has a public key to be provided with ‘kdc_cred’
- REQ9 : specify if part of the KDC interface is not supported
- REQ12: categorize possible new operations as primary or secondary for ACE Clients
- REQ21: specify approaches to compute/verify the PoP evidence for the KDC’s public key
- REQ29: categorize possible new parameters as MUST/SHOULD/MAY be supported by ACE Clients
- REQ30: define if conditional parameters from this document MUST/SHOULD/MAY be supported

› **Optional-to-address requirements**

- OPT9 : define a default group rekeying scheme for ACE Client to consider
- OPT10: specify functionalities implemented at ‘control_group_uri’
- OPT14: specify any additional parameters to include in a “Point-to-Point” rekeying message
- OPT15: specify if option parameters from this document MUST/SHOULD be supported

› **Note: the numbering might change!**

Next steps

- › Finish addressing the WGLC comments
 - All points should be covered (have to double check); need to harmonize & polish
- › Some more clarifications from IETF 111
 - Clarify scope and goal of this document within the ACE Groupcomm landscape
 - Clarify trust in the KDC and related security assumption

Editor's copy: <https://ace-wg.github.io/ace-key-groupcomm/draft-ietf-ace-key-groupcomm.html>

- › Submit version -14 before the cut-off
- › Related: align *key-groupcomm-oscore* to this document (already ongoing)

Thank you!