

LPWAN WG

WG Chairs:

Alexander Pelov <a@ackl.io>
Pascal Thubert <pthubert@cisco.com>

AD: Eric Vyncke evyncke@cisco.com

Note Well

This is a reminder of IETF policies in effect on various topics such as patents or code of conduct. It is only meant to point you in the right direction. Exceptions may apply. The IETF's patent policy and the definition of an IETF "contribution" and "participation" are set forth in BCP 79; please read it carefully.

As a reminder:

- By participating in the IETF, you agree to follow IETF processes and policies.
- If you are aware that any IETF contribution is covered by patents or patent applications that are owned or controlled by you or your sponsor, you must disclose that fact, or not participate in the discussion.
- As a participant in or attendee to any IETF activity you acknowledge that written, audio, video, and photographic records of meetings may be made public.
- Personal information that you provide to IETF will be handled in accordance with the IETF Privacy Statement.
- As a participant or attendee, you agree to work respectfully with other participants; please contact the ombudsteam (https://www.ietf.org/contact/ombudsteam/) if you have questions or concerns about this.

Definitive information is in the documents listed below and other IETF BCPs. For advice, please talk to WG chairs or ADs:

BCP 9 (Internet Standards Process)

BCP 25 (Working Group processes)

BCP 25 (Anti-Harassment Procedures)

BCP 54 (Code of Conduct)

BCP 78 (Copyright)

BCP 79 (Patents, Participation)

E T F https://www.ietf.org/privacy-policy/ (Privacy Policy)





Reminder:

Minutes are taken * This meeting might be recorded ** Presence is logged ***

^{*} Please contribute to the minutes at: https://codimd.ietf.org/notes-ietf-interim-2021-lpwan-09-lpwan

^{**} Recordings and Minutes are public and may be subject to discovery in the event of litigation.

^{***} From the Webex login

Agenda bashing

((LPWAN))

[15min]

- [16:05] Administrivia o Note-Well, Scribes, Agenda Bashing
 - o WG Status
- [16:20] Data Model for SCHC
- [15min]
 - Discussion on features
- [16:35] AUTH-48 for RFC-to-be 8824
- [20min]
 - Status (Ana + Eric)



WG Status

Date +	Milestone
Feb 2022	Produce a Standards Track document for SCHC over NBIOT draft-ietf-lpwan-schc-over-nbiot
Oct 2021	Produce a Standards Track document for SCHC over SigFox draft-ietf-lpwan-schc-over-sigfox
Jul 2021	Produce a Standards Track document to enable operations, administration and maintenance (OAM) to the LPWAN device, including support for delayed or proxied liveness verification (Ping)
Feb 2021	Produce a Standards Track document to define the generic data models to formalize the compression and fragmentation contexts for LPWANs
Dec 2020	Produce Standard Track documents to apply SCHC IPv6/UDP over the baseline technologies
May 2020	Perform SCHC Maintenance, including enabling SCHC mechanisms for Upper layer Protocols



Document advancement

	Document	Date	* Status	• IPR • AD / Shepherd
	Active Internet-Drafts (5 hits)			
2	draft-ietf-lpwan-architecture-00 LPWAN Static Context Header Compression (SCHC) Architecture	2021-05-18 10 pages	I-D Exists WG Document: Informational	
3	draft-ietf-lpwan-coap-static-context-hc-19 LPWAN Static Context Header Compression (SCHC) for CoAP	2021-03-08 34 pages	RFC Ed Queue AUTH48 AUTH48 for 84 days Submitted to IESG for Publication: Proposed Standard Reviews: genart, iotdir, opsdir, secdir, tsvart	Éric Vyncke ⊠ Pascal Thubert ⊠
	draft-ietf-lpwan-schc-over-nbiot-04 SCHC over NB-IoT	2021-01-19 22 pages	I-D Exists WG Document Feb 2022	Éric Vyncke ⊠
2	draft-ietf-lpwan-schc-over-sigfox-05 SCHC over Sigfox LPWAN	2021-02-22 23 pages	I-D Exists WG Document Oct 2021	Éric Vyncke ⊠
	draft-ietf-lpwan-schc-yang-data-model-04 Data Model for Static Context Header Compression (SCHC)	2021-02-02 42 pages	I-D Exists WG Document Reviews: yangdoctors	Éric Vyncke ⊠
	RFCs (3 hits)			
	RFC 8376 (was draft-ietf-lpwan-overview) Low-Power Wide Area Network (LPWAN) Overview	2018-05 43 pages	Informational RFC	Suresh Krishnan ⊠ Alexander Pelov ⊠
]	RFC 8724 (was draft-ietf-lpwan-ipv6-static-context-hc) SCHC: Generic Framework for Static Context Header Compression and Fragmentation	2020-04 71 pages	Proposed Standard RFC	Suresh Krishnan ⊠ Pascal Thubert ⊠
3	RFC 9011 (was draft-ietf-lpwan-schc-over-lorawan) Static Context Header Compression and Fragmentation (SCHC) over LoRaWAN	2021-04 26 pages	Proposed Standard RFC	1 Éric Vyncke ⋈ Dominique Barthel ⋈



Action items

- (All) Consider RFC 5856 and RFC 6920
- (Carsten) Kick off OSCORE discussion on passing SCHC Rules with security context



IETF 111



 $\left(\left(egin{array}{c} \mathsf{LPWAN} \end{array}
ight)
ight)$

draft-ietf-lpwan-schc-yang-data-model-04

Laurent Toutain (laurent.toutain@imt-atlantique.fr)
Ana Minaburo (ana@ackl.io)



draft-ietf-lpwan-coap-static-contexthc-19 AUTH-48

Ana Minaburo (ana@ackl.io)

Laurent Toutain (laurent@imt-atlantique.fr)

Ricardo Andreasen (randreasen@fi.uba.ar)

Status

- AUTH-48
- RFC8824
 - Thanks Eric
 - Thanks RFC-Editor



Title

- Currently:
 - LPWAN Static Context Header Compression (SCHC) for CoAP
 - Low-Power Wide-Area Network (LPWAN) Static Context Header Compression and frangmentation (SCHC) for the Constrained Application Protocol (CoAP)
- Suggested (full abbreviated)
 - LPWAN SCHC for COAP



USE of SCHC compression as noun

- Currently as a noun
 - SCHC compression
 - Does follow RFC8724 where SCHC (Static Context Header Compression and Fragmentation)?
 - Or does SCHC compression means: Static Context Header Compression compression?



Section 3. DI make the difference

- Does it mean "determine the difference between header types "
- Or something else?

- => Identify Request or Response descriptions, using DI as decision maker
- The field description MAY define both request/response header and target values in the same Rule, using the DI (direction indicator) to indicate the header type



Verify new changes from Editor

Approve the latest changes base on our answers

((LPWAN))

AOB?