RFC Editor Future Development Program

Brian Rosen

Eliot Lear

(chairs)

Interim Meeting

12 Feb. 2021

Note Well (Break out the reading glasses)

This is a reminder of IETF policies in effect on various topics such as patents or code of conduct. It is only meant to point you in the right direction. Exceptions may apply. The IETF's patent policy and the definition of an IETF "contribution" and "participation" are set forth in BCP 79; please read it carefully.

As a reminder:

By participating in the IETF, you agree to follow IETF processes and policies.

If you are aware that any IETF contribution is covered by patents or patent applications that are owned or controlled by you or your sponsor, you must disclose that fact, or not participate in the discussion.

As a participant in or attendee to any IETF activity you acknowledge that written, audio, video, and photographic records of meetings may be made public.

Personal information that you provide to IETF will be handled in accordance with the IETF Privacy Statement

As a participant or attendee, you agree to work respectfully with other participants; please contact the ombudsteam. (

https://www.ietf.org/contact/ombudsteam/) if you have questions or concerns about this.

Definitive information is in the documents listed below and other IETF BCPs. For advice, please talk to WG chairs or ADs:

BCP 9 (Internet Standards Process)

BCP 25 (Working Group processes)

BCP 25 (Anti-Harassment Procedures)

BCP 54 (Code of Conduct)

BCP 78 (Copyright)

BCP 79 (Patents, Participation)

https://www.ietf.org/privacy-policy/ (Privacy Policy)

Agenda

- 1. Note Well
- 2. Bash
- 3. Review Issues 13, 15, RSEA Responsibility table
 - don't expect to get through them all
- 4. Next Meeting
- 5. AOB

Issue 13: Is participation in the strategy body is open to all?

We need to do a consensus check on the following text:

• The RSE, stream managers, and a representative of the RPC are expected to participate in all meetings and in online discussions.

We think we have <u>rough</u> consensus on the following text (we'll check):

All strategic body meetings are open to the public. At body's initial formation, all discussions are to take place on open mailing lists, and anyone is welcome to comment / discuss. The strategic body may decide by rough consensus to use additional forms of communication (for example, Github Issue Tracking[RFC8874]) that are consistent with [RFC2418].

We do not yet have consensus on this point:

• Who has decision-making power: is it the board or an open WG?

Issue 15: Who makes final decisions on strategy?

Now discussing hybrid model

- A Working group
 - Open to all (see previous slide)
 - Operates like a working group, re consensus
- An oversight body
 - Approves output of working group
 - Members may participate in WG
 - Is made up of... [See Slide 8]
 - Can approve or disapprove output because...
 - [Does/Does not] select/oversee WG chairs
 - Decisions [can/cannot] be appealed to [ISOC BoT/IAB/Some Other Body]

The Details

• Can approve or disapprove output because...

• [Does/Does not] select/oversee WG chairs

 Decisions [can/cannot] be appealed to [ISOC BoT/IAB/Some Other Body]

Oversight Body Members

Who?	Yes/No
IETF Stream Representative	
IRTF Stream Representative	
IAB Stream Representative	
ISE or representative	
RS[EA]	
LLC	
NOMCOM additions	
ISOC BoT representative	
Other?	

- To concentrate responsibility, say "no" more often;-)
- Do we strong enough representation of "the customer"/"reader"?

One open question

Who writes the Statement Of Work?

- The LLC/ED?
- The oversight group?
- The working group?

RFC Editor Responsibility Table

Section	Responsibility	Who?
2	rfc-editor.org	
2.1	Quality, continuity, and evolution of the series	
2.1.1	Performance of the RPC and Publisher function	
2.1.1	Creates documentation and structures that will allow for continuity of the RFC Series in the face of changes in contracts and personnel.	
2.1.1	Issues that go beyond the RFC Production Center or Publisher functions, such as cross-stream coordination of priorities.	
2.1.2.1	Primary point of contact to the IETF on matters relating to the RFC Series in general, or policy matters relating to specific documents	
2.1.2.1.1	Supports volunteerism	
2.1.2.1.2	Identifies materially concerned interest groups within the Internet community and reaching out to them	
2.1.2.1.2	Works with the community to achieve policy that meets the overall quality, continuity, and evolution goals the RSE is charged with meeting.	
2.1.2.2	Represents the Series externally.	
2.1.3	Ongoing development of production and publication	
2.1.4	Broad community engagement	
2.1.4	Provide vision for evolution of the publication environment	
2.1.4	Ensure long-term archive of RFCs is maintained	

Next Meeting

- Doodling now
- Next proposed meeting date: w/o 15 Feb 2021

AOB