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Note Well (Break out the reading glasses)

This is a reminder of IETF policies in effect on various topics such as patents or code of conduct. It is only meant to point you in the right direction. Exceptions may apply. The IETF's patent policy and the definition of an IETF "contribution" and "participation" are set forth in BCP 79; please read it carefully.

As a reminder:
By participating in the IETF, you agree to follow IETF processes and policies.
If you are aware that any IETF contribution is covered by patents or patent applications that are owned or controlled by you or your sponsor, you must disclose that fact, or not participate in the discussion.
As a participant in or attendee to any IETF activity you acknowledge that written, audio, video, and photographic records of meetings may be made public.
Personal information that you provide to IETF will be handled in accordance with the IETF Privacy Statement
As a participant or attendee, you agree to work respectfully with other participants; please contact the ombudsteam. (https://www.ietf.org/contact/ombudsteam/) if you have questions or concerns about this.
Definitive information is in the documents listed below and other IETF BCPs. For advice, please talk to WG chairs or ADs:
BCP 9 (Internet Standards Process)
BCP 25 (Working Group processes)
BCP 25 (Anti-Harassment Procedures)
BCP 54 (Code of Conduct)
BCP 78 (Copyright)
BCP 79 (Patents, Participation)
https://www.ietf.org/privacy-policy/ (Privacy Policy)
Agenda

1. Note Well
2. Agenda Bashing
3. Chairs’ Proposal Discussion
4. Moving On From Here
5. Brief Introduction to Editors’ Draft
6. AOB
Chairs’ Proposal

• Proposal is in two parts on Github
  • draft-evolution-process.md
  • rse-hire-and-fire-accountability.md

• If there is rough consensus to proceed, then this text is adopted as working group text
  • Issues will be opened; the document won’t close until the issues are closed.
  • Chairs would like people to open issues over the next month.

• It’s clear that some text will need changing
  • People must show give and take to improve the overall product
  • This is particularly true for the 2nd part of the proposal, where we have spent less time
Our circuitous path

Mar 2020
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✓

✓
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April 2020
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Initial concepts
introduced in 4
drafts

✓

✓
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October
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And resolution

March
Chair’s Proposal
Based on many
other proposals

Next steps
Open issues
against this text
Refine based on
open issues

We are here
Brief intro to editor’s draft

• draft-saintandre-rfced-model.md in Github
• Not yet posted
• Will get posted
• Then call for adoption
• Need to be clear on what has WG consensus and what doesn’t