

# RFC Editor Future Development Program

Brian Rosen  
Eliot Lear  
(chairs)  
25 May 2021

# Note Well (Break out the reading glasses)

This is a reminder of IETF policies in effect on various topics such as patents or code of conduct. It is only meant to point you in the right direction. Exceptions may apply. The IETF's patent policy and the definition of an IETF "contribution" and "participation" are set forth in BCP 79; please read it carefully.

As a reminder:

By participating in the IETF, you agree to follow IETF processes and policies.

If you are aware that any IETF contribution is covered by patents or patent applications that are owned or controlled by you or your sponsor, you must disclose that fact, or not participate in the discussion.

As a participant in or attendee to any IETF activity you acknowledge that written, audio, video, and photographic records of meetings may be made public.

Personal information that you provide to IETF will be handled in accordance with the IETF Privacy Statement

As a participant or attendee, you agree to work respectfully with other participants; please contact the ombudsteam.

(<https://www.ietf.org/contact/ombudsteam/>) if you have questions or concerns about this.

Definitive information is in the documents listed below and other IETF BCPs. For advice, please talk to WG chairs or ADs:

[BCP 9](#) (Internet Standards Process)

[BCP 25](#) (Working Group processes)

[BCP 25](#) (Anti-Harassment Procedures)

[BCP 54](#) (Code of Conduct)

[BCP 78](#) (Copyright)

[BCP 79](#) (Patents, Participation)

<https://www.ietf.org/privacy-policy/> (Privacy Policy)

# Agenda

1. Note Well
2. Agenda Bashing
3. Issues
4. Editor Draft Planning
5. Next meeting
6. AOB

# Issue tracker status

- 52 open issues, 9 closed issues
- Likely we can easily resolve 13 issues without much discussion (see next slide)
- 9 issues are placeholders
- Lots of overlap

# Issue overview: the (hopefully) very easy ones

Issue #	Title	Status	Actions
4	<a href="#">LLC legally &amp; financially responsible for all contracts</a>	Already covered by Peter	Propose to close
5	<a href="#">RFC production and publishing are service contract(s)</a>	Already covered by Peter	Propose to close
6	<a href="#">Streams have content control</a>	Text proposed by Peter	Propose to adopt as of today
7	<a href="#">A separate body debates strategy</a>	Issue subsumed by other issues	Propose to close
8	<a href="#">This body must include stream representatives.</a>	Already in draft. Other issues on membership can be opened.	Propose to close.
10	<a href="#">Is there a figurehead and thought leader of some kind?</a>	Agreed text in draft	Propose to close.
12	<a href="#">Is the expert an advisor (RSA) vs an executive editor (RSE)</a>	What is left is name of role- that is issue 24.	Propose to close.
13	<a href="#">Is participation in the strategy body is open to all?</a>	We have agreed text in the draft.	Propose to close.
15	<a href="#">Who makes final decisions on strategy?</a>	This is one of several issues. Others are more specific. See Issues 16, 36, 52, 59, 63.	Propose to close this one, but discuss the whole issue.
19	Membership definition for RSEB	There is no RSEB	Propose to close
43	<a href="#">LLC and structure text</a>	Really a dup of issue 39	Propose to combine
44	<a href="#">Slight modification in "anyone is welcome to participate" to "subject to antiharassment policies"</a>	Very slight tweak	Propose to adopt.
34	Anti-harassment/ WG procedures	Not all procedures are detailed	Propose to leave that to the WG

24.05.21

# “Just in case” issues: placeholders

Issue #	Heading
26	Update RFC 2028?
27	Update RFC 2026?
28	Update BCP 79?
29	Update BCP 78?
30	Update BCP 39?
31	Update RFC 8729/4844?
32	Update stream boiler plate?
33	Update ISE model?
35	Update 5742

# Some more issues

Issue #	Heading	Status	Proposal
16	<a href="#">Who handles oversight/appeals?</a>	Still discussing	See following slide
36	<a href="#">Appeals should be to the IAB not the ISOC BoT</a>	Still discussing	See following slide
52	<a href="#">ultimate policy authority</a>	Still discussing	See following slide
59	<a href="#">Who mediates disputes between the RPC and authors?</a>	Still discussing	See following slide
63	<a href="#">Who has final authority to change the process?</a>	Still discussing	See following slide
41	Who selects RSWG Chairs?	Still discussing	See Slide 10
14	Who selects (RSAB) Chairs?	Not discussed	See Slide 10

# Decision points, Appeals, Policy authority

- Who has final authority on RFC approval?
  - We have said the stream manager. Are we revisiting this issue?
- What is the appeal chain for decisions of the WG?
- What is the appeal chain for decisions of the RSAB?
- How does process evolve?



# Who gets to be a chair and for how long?

- RSWG
  - Proposal – joint co-chair selection by IAB and IETF chairs, fixed terms
  - Others?
- RSAB
  - Current text: body makes its own choice
  - No issue opened on this.

# RFC Editor Series

Issue #	Title	Status	Proposed Action
22	<a href="#"><u>Is there a new, separate Editorial Stream?</u></a>	Text in draft but discussion required	Discuss
53	<a href="#"><u>Do new streams imply new RSAB members?</u></a>	Not clear from text	Can be deferred to stream creation

# Responsibilities of the RPC

Issue #	Heading	Status	Proposed Action
56	<a href="#"><u>Process for RPC work not requiring consensus</u></a>	Open	Discuss
57	<a href="#"><u>How are RPC priorities set?</u></a>	Open	Discuss
58	<a href="#"><u>Can the RPC continue to make smaller changes to the style guide</u></a>	Open	Discuss
59	<a href="#"><u>Who mediates disputes between the RPC and authors?</u></a>	Mentioned on previous slide	-
60	<a href="#"><u>Resolution of disputes between the RPC and authors with cross-stream implications</u></a>	Open	Discuss
61	<a href="#"><u>Replacing the previous RSE role in gathering requirements for the RPC</u></a>	Open	Discuss
62	<a href="#"><u>Communicating decisions about RPC projects/priorities to the community</u></a>	Open	Discuss

# Editor's Draft

- Propose to adopt it
  - If the group agrees, ask IAB to approve it in their stream

# Next Meeting

- Propose to doodle starting today

AOB