RFC Editor Future Development Program

Brian Rosen

Eliot Lear

(chairs)

21 June 2021

Note Well (Break out the reading glasses)

This is a reminder of IETF policies in effect on various topics such as patents or code of conduct. It is only meant to point you in the right direction. Exceptions may apply. The IETF's patent policy and the definition of an IETF "contribution" and "participation" are set forth in BCP 79; please read it carefully.

As a reminder:

By participating in the IETF, you agree to follow IETF processes and policies.

If you are aware that any IETF contribution is covered by patents or patent applications that are owned or controlled by you or your sponsor, you must disclose that fact, or not participate in the discussion.

As a participant in or attendee to any IETF activity you acknowledge that written, audio, video, and photographic records of meetings may be made public.

Personal information that you provide to IETF will be handled in accordance with the IETF Privacy Statement

As a participant or attendee, you agree to work respectfully with other participants; please contact the ombudsteam. (

https://www.ietf.org/contact/ombudsteam/) if you have questions or concerns about this.

Definitive information is in the documents listed below and other IETF BCPs. For advice, please talk to WG chairs or ADs:

BCP 9 (Internet Standards Process)

<u>BCP 25</u> (Working Group processes)

BCP 25 (Anti-Harassment Procedures)

<u>BCP 54</u> (Code of Conduct)

BCP 78 (Copyright)

BCP 79 (Patents, Participation)

https://www.ietf.org/privacy-policy/ (Privacy Policy)

Agenda

- 1. Note Well
- 2. Agenda Bashing
- 3. Issues
- 4. Editor Draft Planning
- 5. Next meeting
- 6. AOB

Status

- 54 open issues, 10 closed issues
- 2 new issues since last meeting
- Now draft-saintandre-rfced-model-01.txt
- Call for adoption to issue

Some more issues

Issue #	Heading	Status	Proposal
9	Strategy body meetings are public	Proposed Text	Start last call now
11	There is a figurehead	Open	Close, but allow specific issues on RS[EA]
14	Who chairs?	Proposal made, w/ Adrian's tweak	Start last call now
16	Who handles oversight/appeals	Agreed on RSWG. Needs more work for RSAB	Proposal needed.
17	Single contract for REP v. multipl	Defer	Wait til after this meeting
18	Contract monitors outside LLC	Defer	Proposal needed
20	Decider for hiring RSEA	Text now in draft (§4.1)	Start last call now
21	Search committee	Text now in draft (§4.1)	Start last call now
22	Is there a separate editorial stream?	No consensus	Discussion needed
53	Do new streams necessarily imply new RSAB members?	No discussion	Discussion needed

Responsibilities of the RPC

Issue #	Heading	Status	Proposed Action
56	Process for RPC work not requiring consensus	Open	Discuss
57	How are RPC priorities set?	Open	Discuss
58	Can the RPC continue to make smaller changes to t	Open	Discuss
59	Who mediates disputes between the RPC and auth ors?	Mentioned on previous slide	-
60	Resolution of disputes between the RPC and author	Open	Discuss
61	Replacing the previous RSE role in gathering require	Open	Discuss
62	<u>Communicating decisions about RPC projects/priori</u> ties to the community	Open	Discuss

Editor's Draft

- Propose to adopt it
 - If the group agrees, ask IAB to approve it in their stream

Next Meeting

• IETF 111

AOB