Operational Guidance for Deployment of L4S in the Internet

draft-ietf-tsvwg-l4sops-00

Greg White, Editor TSVWG L4S Interim May 10, 2021

Contributors include: Bob Briscoe, Jake Holland, Koen De Schepper, Olivier Tilmans, Tom Henderson, Asad Ahmed, Gorry Fairhurst, Sebastian Moeller

Status

- Individual Draft
 - Draft-00 (July 2020) discussed @IETF108
 - Draft-01 (Nov 2020) discussed @IETF109
 - Draft-02 (Feb 2021) discussed @IETF110
- WG Draft Adopted on March 26
 - WG-Draft-00 (May 2021)

Summary of Deltas in WG-Draft-00

- New Section 3.1 summarizing recent studies of RFC3168 deployment
 - Akamai (2020)
 - 5-7 ASNs show significant deployment
 - Global baseline of ~0.3% of paths
 - Apple (2018)
 - China: 1% of paths
 - Mexico: 3.2% of paths
 - France: 6% of paths (large deployment of fq_codel by Free.fr)
 - Pete Heist (2021)
 - Small cooperative ISP (660 members)
 - Deployment of fq codel in subset of backhaul links
 - Aside from backhaul, observed CE-marking on ~10% of paths (33 IPs)
- New Section 6 discussing actions that can be taken by an operator of FQ bottlenecks:
 - Update to L4S-awareness
 - Treat ECT(1) as NotECT
 - Tunnel ECT(1) with NotECT in outer header
 - Re-mark ECT(1) to NotECT
- New Section 7 discussing conclusion of the L4S experiment

Outline

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Per-Flow Fairness
- 3. Detection of Classic ECN Bottlenecks
 - 3.1. Recent Studies
 - 3.2. Future Experiments
- 4. Operator of an L4S host
 - 4.1. Edge Servers
 - 4.2. Other hosts
- 5. Operator of a Network Employing RFC3168 FIFO Bottlenecks
 - 5.1. Configure AQM to treat ECT(1) as NotECT
 - 5.2. ECT(1) Tunnel Bypass
 - 5.3. Configure Non-Coupled Dual Queue
 - 5.4. WRED with ECT(1) Differentation
 - 5.5. Disable RFC3168 Support
 - 5.6. Re-mark ECT(1) to NotECT Prior to AQM
- 6. Operator of a Network Employing RFC3168 FQ Bottlenecks
- 7. Conclusion of the L4S experiment
 - 7.1. Successful termination of the L4S experiment
 - 7.2. Unsuccessful termination of the L4S experiment

TODOs & Discussion

- Intro: further discussion on severity and who might be impacted
- Operator of Host: discussion of risk of incorrectly classifying a path
- Other Hosts: more info on ways to cache/maintain list of 3168 paths
- Discussion of replay attack window implementations in VPNs?
- Re-mark ECT(1) to NotECT: delete this or explain why violating an ECN-L4S-ID requirement is acceptable (as a last resort)
- Usage of DSCP as a network mechanism?