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Note Well

This is a reminder of IETF policies in effect on various topics such as patents or code of conduct. It is only meant to point you in the right direction. Exceptions may apply. The IETF's patent policy and the definition of an IETF "contribution" and "participation" are set forth in BCP 79; please read it carefully.

As a reminder:

By participating in the IETF, you agree to follow IETF processes and policies.
If you are aware that any IETF contribution is covered by patents or patent applications that are owned or controlled by you or your sponsor, you must disclose that fact, or not participate in the discussion.
As a participant in or attendee to any IETF activity you acknowledge that written, audio, video, and photographic records of meetings may be made public.
Personal information that you provide to IETF will be handled in accordance with the IETF Privacy Statement.
As a participant or attendee, you agree to work respectfully with other participants; please contact the ombudsteam if you have questions or concerns about this.
Definitive information is in the documents listed below and other IETF BCPs. For advice, please talk to WG chairs or ADs:

- BCP 9 (Internet Standards Process)
- BCP 25 (Working Group processes)
- BCP 25 (Anti-Harassment Procedures)
- BCP 54 (Code of Conduct)
- BCP 78 (Copyright)
- BCP 79 (Patents, Participation)
- (Privacy Policy)
IETF Code Of Conduct Guidelines BCP 54

1. Treat colleagues with respect
2. Speak slowly and limit the use of slang
3. Dispute ideas by using reasoned argument
4. Use best engineering judgment
5. Find the best solution for the whole Internet
6. Contribute to the ongoing work of the group and the IETF
Agenda

- DNS Terminology
  - Paul Hoffman, Kazunori Fujiwara
DNS Terminology

For progress on RFC8499-bis, consensus on

- Definition of bailiwick

- And related, definition of glue
  - Definition of glue is also relevant for draft-ietf-dnsop-glue-is-not-optional
Definition of Bailiwick

Number of proposals

- Definition of in-bailiwick
  - in-domain
  - sibling domain
  - out-of-bailiwick

- Drop the term “in-bailiwick”
  - Only use “in-domain” and “sibling domain”?
The Use of in-bailiwick

- Scope of use of bailiwick
  - Strict use with A/AAAA: “needed for DNS resolution”
  - Other RR types for DNSSEC, SVCB, …
Definition of Glue

● Definition of glue (on DNSOP mailing list, plus some small amendments)
  ○ “Glue is non-authoritative data in a zone that is transmitted in the additional section of a referral response on the basis that the data might be necessary for resolution to proceed at the referred name servers.”

● Necessary vs. useful discussion
  ○ too broad definition?
  ○ use “glue for in-domain/sibling domain name servers” as a term (from draft glue-is-not-optional)
Definition of Sibling Glue

Definition of sibling zone and glue

- Sibling zones: two zones whose delegations are in the same parent zone.
- Sibling glue: addresses of nameservers that are in a sibling zone.

Necessary vs. useful interpretation

- Same as for in-domain glue?