Session III - Improvements

- Metrics (Alexander Clemm)
- General thoughts on solutions & tradeoffs (Suresh Krishnan)
- General thoughts on solutions & tradeoffs (incl. routing) (Russ White)
- Multicast (Louis Navarre)
- Data formats (Brendan Moran)

Session III – Many Take-aways

- A range of metrics needed for **devices**, **paths**, **flows**, **and overall network**
 - Next steps: YANG models, protocol extensions, etc.
- Focus on **Scope 3**, consider Scope 4 given their relative size
 - Sustainability considerations, best practices & tradeoffs should be put into a document
- Merge multiple metrics into one, to jointly optimize and avoid the problem being NP-complete
- From a **control plane perspective**, to reduce power usage, consider removing redundant links or equipment, and/or reduce link speed
 - All of which can be done in a **Time Variant (TVR) manner**, which actually has beyond-routing, across-layer, HW/SW applicability, i.e., schedule to do more/less when we know that conditions will be better/worse in terms of environmental impact
- **Timely to reconsider multicast** tradeoff simplicity vs efficiency
 - New solutions for old challenges stateless mcast for scalability, user space impl performance reasonable, etc.
 - Re-use techniques from elsewhere constrained networks, including wireless low-power contexts
- Typically 30% energy reductions when using binary vs text data formats
 - Stop thinking of the network as an infinite resource
 - Stop ignoring data formats, even if a small reduction in isolation, in the aggregate can add up, e.g., if moved to a CBOR representation of MIME, for all MIME-encoded e-mail
- Even if monitoring not very efficient in & of itself, must understand where energy is consumed
- etc etc etc