

The Semantic Definition Format (SDF): A brief tutorial and status

Carsten Bormann

The need for One Data Model

- IoT standardization is dominated by **ecosystem**-specific SDOs
- Each ecosystem has their own data models, and their own way to document them
- IoT applications may need to work with *things* from multiple ecosystems: No single ecosystem can supply the whole variety needed
- Can build protocol translators; harder to translate **hundreds** of data models

The One Data Model liaison group

- People from different SDOs meet in an informal liaison group
- Bring together hundreds of ecosystem-specific data models
 - Express in **common format**
 - Work on merging and harmonizing data models
 - Make harmonized data models available for all SDOs (BSD license!)
 - Working in the open: <https://github.com/one-data-model>
- Inevitably: standardize on a **common format**: SDF

SDF: The Semantic Definition Format

- <https://github.com/ietf-wg-asdf/SDF>
- Defines classes of *things* (sdfObject, combine into sdfThing)
- Things don't have data, they have **interactions** with their *clients*(*), provided by **affordances**
- Interaction affordances grouped into **interaction patterns**:
For now, **Property, Action, Event**
- Interactions input and output **data** (groupable into sdfData)

(*) Not a
oneDM term

Overall Specification Structure

- One or more JSON documents; linked together with JSON pointers [RFC6901]
- An SDF specification can **reuse** elements (such as sdfData definitions) of other SDF specifications
 - Goal: define a basic core set that every specification can reference (“common reusable definitions”)

Interaction Patterns

- SDF is about modeling data
- Interaction Patterns mostly defined along input and output data

| Name | cf. REST | Initiative | Input | Output |
|----------------------------|----------|------------|-------|--------|
| Property | GET | Client | — | Data |
| Property (writable) | PUT | Client | Data | (Data) |
| Action | POST | Client | Input | Output |
| Event | ? | Thing | — | Output |

Action

- Actions can have different input and output data
- Some actions take time (not modeled): Initiative to return output moved to Thing (~ Event)

| Name | cf. REST | Initiative | Input | Output |
|----------------------------|----------|------------|-------|--------|
| Property | GET | Client | — | Data |
| Property (writable) | PUT | Client | Data | Data |
| Action | POST | Client | Input | Output |
| Event | ? | Thing | — | Output |

Property

- Property is used for data items that can be read by the client
- Writable properties can also be “set” (no special output)
- Observable properties look like an Event

| Name | cf. REST | Initiative | Input | Output |
|------------------------------|---------------|---------------|-------|--------|
| Property | GET | Client | — | Data |
| Property (writable) | PUT | Client | Data | (Data) |
| Property (observable) | GET (observe) | Client, Thing | — | Data |
| Event | ? | Thing | — | Output |

Event

- Least well-defined interaction pattern
- Is an Event just a notification (similar to observable property)?
- Are Events just status updates (temperature) or is any single one of them precious (coin insertion)?

| Name | cf. REST | Initiative | Input | Output |
|---------------------|----------|------------|-------|--------|
| Property | GET | Client | — | Data |
| Property (writable) | PUT | Client | Data | Data |
| Action | POST | Client | Input | Output |
| Event | ? | Thing | — | Output |

Data

- Data is defined by their *shape* (as in data definition/“schema” languages)
- Data definitions can be made inline in an affordance definition or separately, for later reference
- Definitions can use curated **subset** of json-schema.org terms, and/or SDF-specific terms such as `contentFormat`, `nullable`, `scale`...
- Mapping information (**protocol bindings**) helps bind these data to ecosystem specific formats and encodings

SDF next

Data Model vs. Information Model (1)


- SDF 1 uses json-schema.org-style data modeling, enhanced by SDF qualities
- Really: This should be **information models** (RFC 3444):
 - Abstract from arbitrary representation decisions
 - Don't commit to specific numbers, strings, etc. (**bindings** can do that)
 - Bind to **semantics** via RDF-style links

SDF next

Data Model vs. Information Model (2)

- “Enums”: choices of values (strings, integers), each usually denoting some specific concept
- Information model: Don't commit to specific representation (**bindings** can do that)
- Do bind to **semantics** via RDF-style links
- Enums in SDF 1 patterned after json-schema.org: "General", "Fire", "Flood", "Weather", "Security"?

SDF next



"Alkaline", "Aluminium Air", "Aluminium Ion", "Atomic Beta Voltaics", "Atomic Optoelectric Nuclear", "Atomic Nuclear", "Bunsen Cell", "Chromic Acid Cell", "Poggendorff Cell", "Clark Cell", "Daniell Cell", "Dry Cell", "Earth", "Flow", "Flow Vanadium Redox", "Flow Zinc Bromine", "Flow Zinc Cerium", "Frog", "Fuel", "Galvanic Cell", "Glass", "Grove Cell", "Lead Acid", "Lead Acid Deep Cycle", "Lead Acid VRLA", "Lead Acid AGM", "Lead Acid Gel", "Leclanche Cell", "**Lemon Potato**", "Lithium", "Lithium Air", "Lithium Ion", "Lithium Ion Cobalt Oxide (ICR)", "Lithium Ion Manganese Oxide (IMR)", "Lithium Ion Polymer", "Lithium Iron Phosphate", "Lithium Sulfur", "Lithium Titanate", "Lithium Ion Thin Film", "Magnesium", "Magnesium Ion", "Mercury", "Molten Salt", "Nickel Cadmium", "Nickel Cadmium Vented Cell", "Nickel Hydrogen", "Nickel Iron", "Nickel Metal Hydride", "Nickel Metal Hydride Low Self-Discharge", "Nickel Oxide", "Nickel Oxide", "Nickel Oxide", "Nickel Zinc", "Organic Radical", "Paper", "Polymer Based", "Polysulfide Bromide", "Potassium Ion", "**Pulvermachers Chain**", "Silicon Air", "Silver Calcium", "Silver Oxide", "Silver Zinc", "Sodium Ion", "Sodium Sulfur", "Solid State", "Sugar", "Super Iron", "UltraBattery", "Voltaic Pile", "Voltaic Pile Penny", "Voltaic Pile Trough", "Water Activated", "Weston Cell", "Zinc Air", "Zinc Carbon", "Zinc Chloride", "Zinc Ion", "Unknown"

sdfThing, sdfProduct

- sdfObject definitions can be combined into top-level structures
- sdfThing can contain sdfObject and sdfThing
- sdfProduct similar, as a (not to be harmonized) top-level product definition

