# Admin Interface for the OSCORE Group Manager

draft-ietf-ace-oscore-gm-admin-07

Marco Tiloca, RISE Rikard Höglund, RISE Peter van der Stok Francesca Palombini, Ericsson

ACE WG Interim Meeting, January 23<sup>rd</sup>, 2023

### Recap

#### > RESTful admin interface at the OSCORE Group Manager

- Create, (re-)configure and delete OSCORE groups
- Support for both: i) Link Format and CBOR ; ii) CoRAL

#### > Two new types of resources at the Group Manager

- A single group-collection resource, at /manage
- One group-configuration resource per group, at /manage/GROUPNAME

#### > Using ACE for authentication and authorization

- The Administrator is the Client
- The Group Manager is the Resource Server
- For secure communication, use transport profiles of ACE



### **Updates since IETF 113**

> Last presented: version -05 at IETF 113 (Vienna, March 2022)

> Submitted version -06 for IETF 114 (Philadelphia, July 2022)

> Submitted version -07 for IETF 115 (London, November 2022)

These updates address all open points and feedback from IETF 113

### v -05 → v -06 (1/2)

#### > AIF specific data model to use for scope

- Use and extend AIF-OSCORE-GROUPCOMM introduced in *draft-ietf-ace-key-groupcomm-oscore* 

- scope = << [ + scope\_entry ] >>
- scope\_entry = [Toid, Tperm]

#### > An "admin scope entry" as in this document has:

– Toid : tstr / true / #6nnn(any)

; i.e., group name / wildcard / group name pattern

- Tperm : the rightmost bit is set to 1;

bits set to 1 enable an admin permission

> The same scope can include both "admin scope entries" and "user scope entries"

- The latter ones are defined in -key-groupcomm-oscore
- Entry types distinguishable by the rightmost bit in Tperm



### $v - 05 \rightarrow v - 06 (2/2)$

#### > Revised interactions between Admin (C), AS and Group Manager (RS)

– Based on the revised AIF specific data model

#### > Categorized operations at the Group Manager (GM), as required or optional to support

- In the same spirit of what was done in *draft-ietf-ace-key-groupcomm(-oscore)* 

#### > Added the group status parameter 'gid\_reuse' (default = false)

- When creating an OSCORE group, the Administrator can request the GM to recycle Group IDs
- If so, this actually happens if the Group Manager also supports the recycling of Group IDs

#### > Detailed processing of group name patterns at the AS

- Moved to an Appendix, as just a possible example to consider for implementations
- The document body is kept to the minimum and at high-level about this point (like in RFC 9200)

### $v - 06 \rightarrow v - 07$

#### > Updated optional signaling of the "type" of scope claim

- Aligned with the recent change made in *draft-ietf-ace-key-groupcomm(-oscore)*
- The scope claim (CBOR bstr) can be tagged to signal the use of AIF-OSCORE-GROUPCOMM
- Thanks to RFC 9277, the CBOR tag is the one associated with the CoAP Content-Format defined in draft-ietf-ace-key-groupcomm-oscore for the Media-Type "application/aif+cbor"

#### > New error code "No available group names", and guidelines on how to follow-up

- Possible to use in response to the Administrator upon a group creation request

#### > Categorized parameters as must/should/may be supported

- In the same spirit of what was done in *draft-ietf-ace-key-groupcomm(-oscore)* 

#### > Editorial fixes/improvements

- Fixes in the examples; alignment with parameter renaming in *draft-ietf-ace-key-groupcomm*
- Split between IANA registration of parameters and their CBOR abbreviations

### Next steps

- More explanations and guidelines on multiple Administrators for the same OSCORE group

   E.g., leverage notifications to Admin1 about actions performed by Admin2
- 2. Extended security considerations, mostly about the OSCORE Group Manager
- **3.** Fixes and clarifications for the case where CoRAL is used
- 4. Use CBOR diagnostic notation and Packed CBOR in the CoRAL examples
- 5. Avoid delay due to progress of *draft-ietf-core-coral*. Split this ACE document into two?
   Doc 1: current document minus the CoRAL-related content (use, special features, examples , ...)
   Doc 2: new WG document, with revised CoRAL-related content taken out of this ACE document

> Goal for IETF 116

- Points 1 and 2 completely addressed
- Points 3 and 4 addressed as much as possible
- Reach consensus on how to proceed with point 5

ACE WG Interim Meeting | 2023-01-23 | Page 8

# Thank you!

## Comments/questions?

https://github.com/ace-wg/ace-oscore-gm-admin

Backup

### **Group Configuration Parameters**

#### > Configuration properties

- hkdf
- cred\_fmt
- group\_mode
- sign\_enc\_alg
- sign\_alg
- sign\_params
- pairwise\_mode
- alg
- ecdh\_alg
- ecdh\_params
- det\_req
- det\_hash\_alg

#### > Status properties

- rt = "core.osc.gconf"
- active
- group\_name // Plain immutable identifier
- group\_title // Descriptive string
- ace\_groupcomm\_profile
- max\_stale\_sets
- -exp
- gid\_reuse
- app\_groups // Names of application groups
- joining\_uri
- group\_policies
- as\_uri // Link to the AS
- When using PATCH, easy "replacement" update for most parameters
  - Specify the pair ("label", new\_value), like when creating the group
- 'app\_groups' is a list of names and requires special handling

ACE WG Interim Meeting | 2023-01-23 | Page 11

### Configuration update with PATCH



> Overwrite and addition/deletion not together in the same PATCH payload

### Configuration update with PATCH

#### > 4.00 (Bad request)

- Any malformed or invalid payload
- iPATCH is used as request method, but:
  - > 'app\_groups\_diff' is included (Custom CBOR)
  - `app\_group\_del' and/or 'app\_group\_add' are included (CoRAL)
- > 4.09 (Conflict)
  - New parameter values would yield an inconsistent group configuration
- > 4.22 (Unprocessable entity) might be returned just as per RFC 8132
  - The server is unable to or is incapable of processing the request