

**CBOR @
2023-12-13**

WG documents status and issues:

Documents in processing

- In RFC editor queue: [draft-ietf-cbor-time-tag-12](#)
- In IESG: (Nothing at this point)
- WGLC passed for [draft-ietf-cbor-update-8610-grammar-00](#) and [draft-ietf-cbor-edn-literals-06](#), **shepherding** next (WG Consensus: waiting for write-up)

CDE: Next steps for draft-ietf-cbor-cde-00

- General agreement: should be advanced soon
- Need attention to detail now
 - NaN payloads:
 - dusty corner in most implementations
 - CDE adds guidance
 - many won't implement even basic support
 - Problem?

CDDL 2.0

1., 2.: see above for
[draft-ietf-cbor-update-8610-grammar-00](#) and
[draft-ietf-cbor-edn-literals-06](#) (related)

3. Next steps for draft-ietf-cbor-cddl-more-control-01

- -01 (2023-12-07): added `.printf`
- anything else in this round?

4. Next steps for draft-ietf-cbor-cddl-modules-00

— In use in some specifications,
e.g., workaround in draft-ietf-rats-uccs-07

```
;;; Insert the required CDDL from RFC 9052 to complete these  
;;; definitions. This can be done manually or automated by a  
;;; tool that implements an import directive such as:  
;# import rfc9052
```

— Need feedback from users now!

draft-ietf-cbor-packed-09

- Continue validation, from specs and implementers

Plan from 2023-11-07 interim:

- Process some comments, e.g., Christian's <https://mailarchive.ietf.org/arch/msg/cbor/BBdla1kp8aUDfNeWvuDQLsBeGGE>
- Make use of more benchmarks such as dns-in-cbor
- Get more implementer feedback
- **timebox** this information acquisition period!