

CoRE: CoRECONF

January 18, (Wednesday), 15:00–16:30 UTC
(16:00–17:30 CET, 07:00–08:30 PST)

- RFC 9254: YANG-CBOR
- In IESG: [CORE-SID](#)
- WGLC passed [CORE-COMI](#)
- WGLC passed [CORE-YANG-LIBRARY](#)

CORE-SID: PRs to be merged

Rob Wilton's DISCUSS (stale, on -16)

Remaining main issue was:

- document objectives of SID management

Was to be addressed by -19 (from PR #146)

→ Feedback took a while

Requirement for a "stable" field in SID file (PR #141)

For SID files merged from stable and unstable,

enables use as further SID input

→ Not yet supported by PYANG

Feedback from Rob Wilton

Came in 90 minutes ago :-)

Many useful improvements to be processed.

DISCUSS Preview:

What about **obsolete** SID assignments?

Do we need to carry these around in SID files?

(probably at least to prevent undesirable reallocation!)

PR #141

Add to SID file:

```
leaf status {
  type enumeration {
    enum stable {
      value 0;
      description "This SID allocation has been published in a stable catalog";
    }
    enum unstable {
      value 1;
      description "This SID allocation has been done during a
                  development process";
    }
    default stable;
  }
}
```

CORE-SID: Plan

Process Rob's feedback, extend #141 and #146, new issues

Editorial round needed after latest changes, e.g.:

- Issue #139:

 - Remove remnants of rc:yang-data and RFC 8040 (RW)

Checking round:

- Issue #66: core-sid: May need to renumber YANG module

- Issue #88: review against COMI requirements

CORE-COMI

-11: Further work was waiting for yang-cbor can thaw now:

— technical issue: 'k' query parameter (key representation in GET URIs)

— various editorial nits

→ PR in progress towards -12

CORE-COMI: 'k' query parameter

key representation in GET URIs:

- highly complex
- surprising differences, brittle with changes in data type

Proposal: always use `urlSafeBase64(CBOR)`

Not done: add optimization for frequent string case?

YANG datatype	Uri-Query text content
<code>uint8, uint16, uint32, uint64</code>	<code>int2str(key)</code>
<code>int8, int16, int32, int64</code>	<code>urlSafeBase64(CBORencode(key))</code>
<code>decimal64</code>	<code>urlSafeBase64(CBOR key)</code>
<code>string</code>	<code>key</code>
<code>boolean</code>	<code>"0" or "1"</code>
<code>enumeration</code>	<code>int2str(key)</code>
<code>bits</code>	<code>urlSafeBase64(CBORencode(key))</code>
<code>binary</code>	<code>urlSafeBase64(key)</code>
<code>identityref</code>	<code>int2str(key)</code>
<code>union</code>	<code>urlSafeBase64(CBORencode(key))</code>
<code>instance-identifier</code>	<code>urlSafeBase64(CBORencode(key))</code>

CORE-COMI: 'k' query parameter — comma issue

4.1:

The SID in the URI is followed by the (?k=key1,key2,...).

This doesn't work where keys can contain commas!
(Fixed by base64url-encoding, but...)

Proposal:

- Instead, use a CBOR sequence (RFC 8742) of keys
- Encode the whole thing in base64url

Could also be done: Use CBOR sequence also in FETCH payload
(Note that this is a sequence of sid/key arrays!)

CORE-COMI: Plan

Process **k** simplification

Energy check -- who can drive finishing this?

- Technically stable after **k** simplification
- The usual editorial dance is needed

Feedback from WG needed for finishing drive