(1) CORE: CORECONF

- RFC 9254: YANG-CBOR
- Was in IESG already: <u>CORE-SID</u>
- WGLC passed <u>CORE-COMI</u>
- WGLC passed <u>CORE-YANG-LIBRARY</u>

Status

- core-sid -21 2023-08-30 №
 - no longer waiting for tooling update
 - → manually fixed SID file example
 - should be ready for WGLC after that
- comi -14 2023-07-10:
 - cbor-seq, YANG fixes, RPC example,
 - POST: skip "input"/"output" identifiers (redundant!)
- comi -15 submitted 2023-07-23 after IANA early review
 - check with co-authors: ready for WGLC?

YANG SIDs: Example fix

SID file: (YANG-)JSON now Easier to edit: CSV (comma-separated values) sid-csv tool: converts SID file from/to CSV

```
1716,data,/ietf-system:set-current-datetime/current-datetime,
→
1775,data,/ietf-system:set-current-datetime/input,
1776,data,/ietf-system:set-current-datetime/input/current-datetime,
```

for RPC/actions (-21). ¿Do we need phantom identifiers like:?

```
1777, data, /ietf-system: set-current-datetime/output 1778, data, /ietf-system: system-restart/input
```

(2) Core: Cris (Href)

<u>draft-ietf-core-href</u> defines **CRIs** and **CRI references** Concise equivalent of URIs and URI references (RFC 3986)

-12 (2013-03-06): Add full coverage of all URI schemes supplying negative integers that can be used in their place (open IANA questions how to make this registry run)

-13 (2023-07-10): → WG last call (until 2023-07-24)

CRIS (HREF): Status

WGLC passed

→ extensive review from Marco: → PR#75

To do:

- PR#75 mostly completed, needs reviews
- #76 update Changes section (editorial)
- #77 more test vectors. More test vectors. (#52, #53)
- and...

Missing: CoAP Options!

- Proxy-CRI: analogous to Proxy-URI
- Proxy-Scheme-Number: analogous to Proxy-Scheme (text string)
 - mirror negative scheme number to non-negative (-1 \rightarrow 0, -2 \rightarrow 1)
- → add these ("1+1 space")
- → submit -14 (another WGLC for the CoAP Options?)
- → ship it

(3) draft-...-core-corr-clar

Gather corrections and clarifications: (old idea, picked up again in 2022-12-07 interim) https://github.com/core-wg/corrclar/issues: Discussions

Back and forth with discussions on implementation repos:

```
e.g.,
https://github.com/eclipse-californium/californium/pull/2088
```

Current discussions about Block-wise Transfer

https://github.com/eclipse-californium/californium/pull/2088

- Californium client: used same Token for all of a Block-wise Transfer
- Zephyr Server started to rely on that for state management
- Californium changed: 🤼 🤚 🥫

Discussion about Californium option to reinstate old behavior
→ forking CoAP (Zephyr only works with clients that use
Token in this way)

General discussion about FETCH, Block1, Block2

POST transfers can have large bodies, → Block1 and Block2

POST requests usually not stateless: continuing a Block2 retrieval can leave out Block1 (RFC 7959, Section 2.7)

FETCH also can use Block1 and Block2 RFC 8132: "Works like POST"

: FETCH requests often would like to be stateless!

Proposed corr-clar process

```
[PROCESS] https://github.com/core-wg/corrclar-process/blob/main/process.txt
[ISSUES] https://github.com/core-wg/corrclar/issues
[FAQ] https://github.com/core-wg/wiki/wiki/CoAP-FAQ
```

- 1. Go through available material (issues, FAQ) and revise/create Github issues as needed
- 2. Categorize the Github issues into topics (dedicated team)
- 3. Confirm each issue with the CoRE WG, get feedback from protocol designers/implementors:
 - include and cover in -corr-clar, as is or revised; or
 - simply omit in -corr-clar; or
 - leave for a possible -bis document (e.g., for some specific points related to RFC 7959?)
- 4. Reshape the -corr-clar document in order to
 - include the present process
 - reflect a sequence of pairs (Diagnosis, Therapy)
- 5. Once done: consider WG adoption.
- 6. WG document work can focus on improving the therapy parts