

CoRE: Corr-Clar

2024-07-03 CoRE Interim 10

Plan: Work on issues via PRs

<https://github.com/core-wg/corrclar/issues?q=is%3Aissue+>

- ✓ core-wg/corrclar/issues/7: trailing slash
- ✓ core-wg/corrclar/issues/8: content-coding
- 👁️ core-wg/corrclar/issues/10: "request URI" not defined
- 👁️ core-wg/corrclar/issues/9: match boxing (epoch)

✓ **issues/7: trailing slash**

Handled by PR #32 (merged)

Action: Provide **FORMAL ADDITION**

with clarifying text and examples, on

RFC7252-6.4: Decomposing URIs into Options

— Do we have enough examples? (____.)

✓ **issues/8: content-coding**

Correction essentially handled by Errata Report 4954 and resulting IANA corrections to registry column names

- PR #31 (merged) just points to Err4954
- Err4954 also has additional notes

— Do we want to copy them over? (No.)

👁️ issues/10: "request URI" not defined

Correction needed adding that definition
PR #33 (merged) does that, providing **FORMAL ADDITION**

- Is the addition correct?
- Should we put this into relation to "base URI" as defined in Section 8 of RFC 7252?

Note that HTTP has gone through a transition of terms here;

see RFC 9110 (current) and RFC 7230 (previous)

👁️👁️ issues/9: match boxing (epoch)

Clarification: This is currently well-defined
→ PR #34 (merged), PR #36 for discussion

Opportunity: the well-defined status is undesirable
Approaches for dealing with opportunity:

- 1. use opportunity in **new documents** (e.g., KUDOS)
- 1a. write up opp for **existing docs** (e.g., RFC 9146)
- 2. write up negotiation for optimizations in software (e.g., CF-MATCHER)