

Media over QUIC Hybrid Interim

3 October 2024
Cambridge, MA

This session is
being recorded



Blades the Bruin

Note Well

This is a reminder of IETF policies in effect on various topics such as patents or code of conduct. It is only meant to point you in the right direction. Exceptions may apply. The IETF's patent policy and the definition of an IETF "contribution" and "participation" are set forth in BCP 79; please read it carefully.

As a reminder:

- By participating in the IETF, you agree to follow IETF processes and policies.
- If you are aware that any IETF contribution is covered by patents or patent applications that are owned or controlled by you or your sponsor, you must disclose that fact, or not participate in the discussion.
- As a participant in or attendee to any IETF activity you acknowledge that written, audio, video, and photographic records of meetings may be made public.
- Personal information that you provide to IETF will be handled in accordance with the IETF Privacy Statement.
- As a participant or attendee, you agree to work respectfully with other participants; please contact the ombudsteam (<https://www.ietf.org/contact/ombudsteam/>) if you have questions or concerns about this.

Definitive information is in the documents listed below and other IETF BCPs. For advice, please talk to WG chairs or ADs:

- [BCP 9](#) (Internet Standards Process)
- [BCP 25](#) (Working Group processes)
- [BCP 25](#) (Anti-Harassment Procedures)
- [BCP 54](#) (Code of Conduct)
- [BCP 78](#) (Copyright)
- [BCP 79](#) (Patents, Participation)
- <https://www.ietf.org/privacy-policy/>(Privacy Policy)

Note Really Well

- IETF meetings, virtual meetings, and mailing lists are intended for professional collaboration and networking, as defined in the IETF Guidelines for Conduct (RFC 7154), the IETF Anti-Harassment Policy, and the IETF Anti-Harassment Procedures (RFC 7776). If you have any concerns about observed behavior, please talk to the Ombudsteam, who are available if you need confidentiality to raise concerns confident about harassment or other conduct in the IETF.
- The IETF strives to create and maintain an environment in which people of many different backgrounds and identities are treated with dignity, decency, and respect. Those who participate in the IETF are expected to behave according to professional standards and demonstrate appropriate workplace behavior.
- IETF participants must not engage in harassment while at IETF meetings, virtual meetings, social events, or on mailing lists. Harassment is unwelcome hostile or intimidating behavior—in particular, speech or behavior that is aggressive or intimidates.
- If you believe you have been harassed, notice that someone else is being harassed, or have any other concerns, you are encouraged to raise your concern in confidence with one of the Ombudspersons.

This session is being recorded

Meeting Tips

All participants

- Sign the bluesheets in the notes
- Use Zulip for chat
- In-person participants: raise your (physical) hand; chairs will manage the queue
- Remote participants: use the 'raise hand' function and chairs will insert you

2 October Agenda (II)

1:30 Updates from Lunch discussions

1:45 FETCH Discussion

3:15 Break

3:30 Catalog Design Team Readout (Will)

4:10 Object ID Proposal (Victor)

5:00 Done

3 October Agenda (i)

9:00 Doors Open

9:30 Administrivia (Chairs)

- Agenda Rehash

9:45 Review FETCH PR(s) - PR Authors

10:45 Break

11:00 Object ID Proposal (Victor)

11:45 Track Namespace + Name merge (Will)

12:15 Lunch

3 October Agenda (ii)

1:15 Priorities Clarification (Victor)

2:45 Proposal to fix end-of-subgroup (issue #442) (Alan)

3:15 Prewarming MoQ Tracks (Suhas)

3:45 Wrap Up, Next Steps, Future Interims

4:00 Done

Parking Lot

- SUBSCRIBE_DONE
- General MoQT Issue Discussion
- Present the use-case and a request for common open-source relay code to be developed.
- Do we need stream per track after FETCH?
- RST_STREAM and STOP_SENDING - when to send and what do they mean?
- Proposal to fix end-of-subgroup (issue #442)
- Review SUBSCRIBE_NAMESPACE
 - Should we replace it with a special track?
- DDoS/Security
- Auth

Scribes

One primary note taker and one backup

Zulip Relay

- Watch the chat for comments to relay in the room
- Or if someone is having A/V trouble

On Resolving Technical Conflict

Move from

“How can I convince them I am right”

to

“What are they seeing that I am not seeing”