



WIMSE WORKLOAD-TO-WORKLOAD AUTHENTICATION

Brian Campbell
Joe Salowey
Arndt Schwenkschuster
Yaron Sheffer



17th(?) WIMSE Interim
October 2025

draft-ietf-wimse-s2s-protocol-06 (or 7)

<https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/draft-ietf-wimse-s2s-protocol/>

OKRs

datatracker.ietf.org/doc/agenda-interim-2025-wimse-06-wimse-01/

Datatracker Groups Documents Meetings Other bcampbell Report a bug Document search

agenda-interim-2025-wimse-06-wimse-01-00

Status Email expansions History

Versions:

00

agenda-interim-2025-wimse-06-wimse-01 00

Aug 2025

Meeting Agenda Workload Identity in Multi System Environments ([wimse](#)) WG

Date and time [2025-10-14 10:00-11:00 MDT](#) Meeting Local UTC

Title (None)

State Active

Other versions [plain text](#)

On agenda wimse at interim-2025-wimse-06
Remote instructions: <https://meetings.conf.meetecho.com/interim/?group=98ac49cd-6d88-484a-869f-4e479d189b2a>

Last updated 2025-08-11

agenda-interim-2025-wimse-06-wimse-01-00

Workload to Workload, preparation for WGLC

Summary

- Justin asked for consensus on refactoring the Workload to Workload document structure.
- Options include leaving it as is, splitting it into three parts, or moving a section to an appendix.
- Pieter favors splitting the document into three parts, separating credential formats from presentations.

By Gemini; there may be mistakes. Learn more

Justin Richer Sep 26, 2025, 1:17 PM ☆
Greetings WIMSE enthusiasts, At the September 16 interim meeting, there was discussion about refactoring the Workload to Work...

Dag Sneeggen Sep 26, 2025, 2:06 PM ☆
As I mentioned in a previous email, I support option B but with modifications. I think we should structure the s2s document around ...

Yaroslav Rosomakho Sep 29, 2025, 6:12 PM ☆
I support option B as well. Having clearly separated specifications for each of the authentication and presentation mechanisms will ...

Yaron Sheffer Sep 30, 2025, 12:49 AM (13 days ago) ☆
I support keeping it as one document, making life easier for us (editors and WG) and for readers. Multiple documents can easily ge...

Justin Richer Sep 30, 2025, 9:22 AM (13 days ago) ☆
[chair hat off] As a participant and technologist, I support option (b). This allows us to separate the semantics of the identity content...

Ravindra Dangar Sep 30, 2025, 1:06 PM (13 days ago) ☆
I do see benefits of splitting the document to "account for differences in presentation technologies". On that note, I support Option ...

Brian Campbell Sep 30, 2025, 3:24 PM (13 days ago) ☆
I believe this would be somewhat suboptimal but an acceptable outcome given the various factors. I believe this would be different!

Flemming Andreassen (fandreas) Sep 30, 2025, 6:42 PM (13 days ago) ☆
The primary purpose of writing a standard is to facilitate interoperable implementations. Having two different solutions with neither ...

Arndt Schwenkschuster Oct 3, 2025, 3:32 AM (10 days ago) ☆
I am in favor of option B (Proposed 3-way split). Option A (keep as is) or Brian's suggestion for option D (different 3-way split) are a...

Lombardo, Jeff Oct 3, 2025, 7:43 AM (10 days ago) ☆
I am more inclined in D) as a different approach to B) in the same lines of what Brian expressed: As WPPT usage only has a sense i...

Justin Richer Oct 3, 2025, 7:58 AM (10 days ago) ☆
(As participant) To me, one of the biggest values of this set of work is tight coupling of the presentation layer with the WIT, not just ...

Pieter Kasselmann via ietf.org to Justin, wimse@ietf.org Oct 7, 2025, 9:01 AM (6 days ago) ☆ ↩ ⋮
Chair hat off.

No Hats



One draft, two drafts, three drafts, four
Five drafts, six drafts, seven is too many for sure

Obligatory

IETF 124 in Montreal is less than a month away and the associated I-D submission cut-off is less than a week away

